arXiv:1511.00396v1 [math.QA] 2 Nov 2015

Realizing Enveloping Algebras via Moduli Stacks

Liqian Bai, Fan Xu

Abstract

Let $\mathrm{CF}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ denote the vector space of $\mathbb{Q}$-valued constructible functions on a given stack $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}$ for an exact category $\mathcal{A}$. By using the Ringel–Hall algebra approach, Joyce proved that $\mathrm{CF}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is an associative $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra via the convolution multiplication and the subspace $\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ of constructible functions supported on indecomposables is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathrm{CF}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ in [10]. In this paper, we show that there is a subalgebra $\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ of $\mathrm{CF}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ isomorphic to the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$. Moreover we construct a comultiplication on $\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ and a degenerate form of Green's theorem. This generalizes Joyce's work, as well as results of [3].

1 Introduction

Let Λ be a finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-algebra such that it is a representative-finite algebra, i.e., there are finitely many finite dimensional indecomposable Λ-modules up to isomorphism. Let $\mathcal{I}(\Lambda) = \{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ be a set of representatives. Let $\mathcal{P}(\Lambda)$ be a set of representatives for the all isomorphism classes of Λ-modules. There is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module $R(\Lambda)$ with a basis $\{u_X \mid X \in \mathcal{P}(\Lambda)\}$. Using the Euler characteristic, $\mathcal{P}(\Lambda)$ can be endowed with a multiplicative structure (see [22] and [13]). The multiplication is defined by

$$u_X \cdot u_Y = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{P}(\Lambda)} \chi(V(X,Y;A)) u_A,$$

where $V(X,Y;A) = \{0 \subseteq A_1 \subseteq A \mid A_1 \cong X, A/A_1 \cong Y\}$ and $\chi(V(X,Y;A))$ is the Euler characteristic of $V(X,Y;A)$. Thus $(R(\Lambda), +, \cdot)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra with identity u_0. Let $L(\Lambda)$ be a submodule of $R(\Lambda)$ which is spanned by $\{u_X \mid X \in \mathcal{I}(\Lambda)\}$. It follows that $L(\Lambda)$ is a Lie subalgebra of $R(\Lambda)$ with the Lie bracket $[u_X, u_Y] = u_X \cdot u_Y - u_Y \cdot u_X$. Riedtmann studied the universal enveloping algebra of $L(\Lambda)$. Let $R(\Lambda)'$ be the subalgebra of $R(\Lambda)$ generated by $\{u_X \mid X \in \mathcal{I}(\Lambda)\}$. Riedtmann showed that $R(\Lambda)'$ is isomorphic to the universal enveloping algebra of $L(\Lambda)$. These results have been generalized by two ways.

Joyce generalized Riedtmann's work in the context of constructible functions (also stack functions) over moduli stacks. In [9], Joyce defined the Euler characteristics of constructible sets in $\mathbb{K}$-stacks, pushforwards and pullbacks for constructible functions, where $\mathbb{K}$ is an algebraically closed field. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be

an abelian category and $\mathrm{CF}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ the vector space of $\mathbb{Q}$-valued constructible functions on $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$, where $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the moduli stack of objects in $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$ the collection of isomorphism classes of objects in $\mathcal{A}$. Joyce proved that $\mathrm{CF}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is an associative $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra. The algebra $\mathrm{CF}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ can be viewed as a variant of the Ringel-Hall algebra. Let $\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ be a subspace of $\mathrm{CF}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ satisfying the condition that $f([X]) \neq 0$ implies X is an indecomposable object in $\mathcal{A}$ for every $f \in \mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$. Then $\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is shown to be a Lie subalgebra of $\mathrm{CF}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ ([10, Theorem 4.9]). Let $\mathrm{CF}_{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ be the subspace of $\mathrm{CF}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ such that

$$\mathrm{supp}(f) = \big\{[X] \in \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K}) \mid f([X]) \neq 0\big\}$$

is a finite set for every $f \in \mathrm{CF}_{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$. Let

$$\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{ind}}_{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}) = \mathrm{CF}_{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}) \cap \mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}).$$

Assume that a conflation $X \to Y \to Z$ in $\mathcal{A}$ implies that the number of isomorphism classes of Y is finite for all $X, Z \in \mathrm{Obj}(A)$. With the assumption, Joyce proved that $\mathrm{CF}_{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is an associative algebra and $\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{ind}}_{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ a Lie subalgebra of $\mathrm{CF}_{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$. It follows that $\mathrm{CF}_{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is isomorphic to the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{ind}}_{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$. Joyce defined a comultiplication on $\mathrm{CF}_{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ and proved that $\mathrm{CF}_{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is a bialgebra.

In [3], the authors extended Riedtmann's results to algebras of representation-infinite type, i.e., the cardinality of isomorphism classes of indecomposable finite dimensional Λ-modules is infinite. Let $R(\Lambda)$ be the $\mathbb{Z}$-module spanned by $1_{\mathcal{O}}$, where $1_{\mathcal{O}}$ is the characteristic function over a constructible set of stratified Krull-Schmidt $\mathcal{O}$ (see [3, Section 3]). The subspace $L(\Lambda)$ of $R(\Lambda)$ is spanned by $1_{\mathcal{O}}$, where $\mathcal{O}$ are indecomposable constructible sets. The multiplication is defined by

$$1_{\mathcal{O}_1} \cdot 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}(X) = \chi(V(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2; X))$$

where X is a Λ-module. Then $R(\Lambda)$ is an associative algebra with identity 1_0 and $L(\Lambda)$ a Lie subalgebra of $R(\Lambda)$ with Lie bracket. The algebra $R(\Lambda) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is the universal enveloping algebra of $L(\Lambda) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. The authors gave the degenerate form of Green's formula and established the comultiplication of $R(\Lambda)$ in [3].

The goal of this paper is to explicitly construct the enveloping algebra of $\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ by the methods in [3]. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an exact category satisfying some properties. Let $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$ be a conflation in $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)$ the automorphism group of $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$. The key idea in [3] is that $V(X, Y; L)$ has the same Euler characteristic as its fixed point set under the action of $\mathbb{C}^*$. In this paper, we consider exact categories instead of categories of modules. Then as a substitute of the action of $\mathbb{C}^*$, we analyze the action of a maximal torus of $\mathrm{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)$ on $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$. The universal enveloping algebra of $\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ can be endowed with a comultiplication structure (Definition 4.1). It is compatible with multiplication (Theorem 4.6). The compatibility can be viewed as the degenerate form of Green's theorem on Ringel-Hall algebras (see [4] or [20]).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the basic concepts about stacks, constructible sets and constructible functions. In Section 3 we define the constructible sets of stratified Krull-Schmidt. We study the the subspace $\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ of $\mathrm{CF}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ generated by characteristic functions supported on constructible sets of stratified Krull-Schmidt. Then $\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ provides a realization of the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$. In Section 4 we give the comultiplication Δ in $\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ and prove that Δ is an algebra homomorphism.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Constructible sets and constructible functions

From now on, let $\mathbb{K}$ be an algebraically closed field with characteristic zero. We recall the definitions of constructible sets and constructible functions on $\mathbb{K}$-stacks. These definitions are taken from Joyce [9].

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a $\mathbb{K}$-stack. Let $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$ denote the set of 2-isomorphism classes $[x]$ where $x : \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{K} \to \mathcal{F}$ are 1-morphisms. Every element of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$ is called a geometric point (or $\mathbb{K}$-point) of $\mathcal{F}$. For $\mathbb{K}$-stacks $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$, let $\phi : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}$ be a 1-morphism of $\mathbb{K}$-stacks. Then ϕ induces a map $\phi_* : \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}) \to \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{K})$ by $[x] \mapsto [\phi \circ x]$.

For any $[x] \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$, let $\mathrm{Iso}_{\mathbb{K}}(x)$ denote the group of 2-isomorphisms $x \to x$ which is called a stabilizer group. For ease of notations, $\mathrm{Iso}_{\mathbb{K}}(x)$ is used to denote the group instead of $\mathrm{Iso}_{\mathbb{K}}([x])$. If $\mathrm{Iso}_{\mathbb{K}}(x)$ is an affine algebraic $\mathbb{K}$-group for each $[x] \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$, then we say $\mathcal{F}$ with affine geometric stabilizers. A morphism of algebraic $\mathbb{K}$-groups $\phi_x : \mathrm{Iso}_{\mathbb{K}}(x) \to \mathrm{Iso}_{\mathbb{K}}(\phi_*(x))$ is induced by $\phi : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}$ for each $[x] \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$.

A subset $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$ is called a constructible set if $\mathcal{O} = \amalg_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{F}_i(\mathbb{K})$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, where every $\mathcal{F}_i$ is a finite type algebraic $\mathbb{K}$-substack of $\mathcal{F}$. A subset $S \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$ is called a locally constructible set if $S \cap \mathcal{O}$ are constructible for all constructible subsets $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$. If $\mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_2$ are constructible sets, then $\mathcal{O}_1 \cup \mathcal{O}_2$, $\mathcal{O}_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_2$ and $\mathcal{O}_1 \setminus \mathcal{O}_2$ are constructible sets by [9, Lemma 2.4].

Let $\Phi : \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}) \to \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{K})$ be a map. The set $\Gamma_\Phi = \{(x, \Phi(x)) \mid x \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})\}$ is called the graph of Φ. Recall that Φ is a pseudomorphism if $\Gamma_\Phi \bigcap (\mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{K}))$ are constructible for all constructible subsets $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$. By [9, Proposition 4.6], if $\phi : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}$ is a 1-morphism then ϕ_* is a pseudomorphism, $\Phi(\mathcal{O})$ and $\Phi^{-1}(y) \cap \mathcal{O}$ are constructible sets for all constructible subset $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$ and $y \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{K})$. If Φ is a bijection and Φ^{-1} is also a pseudomorphism, we call Φ a pseudoisomorphism.

Then we will recall the definition of the naïve Euler characteristic of a constructible subset of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$ in [9].

This is a useful result due to Rosenlicht [21].

Theorem 2.2. *Let G be an algebraic $\mathbb{K}$-group acting on a $\mathbb{K}$-variety X. There exist an open dense G-invariant subset $X_1 \subseteq X$ and a $\mathbb{K}$-variety Y such that*

there is a morphism of varieties $\phi : X_1 \to Y$ which induces a bijection form $X_1(\mathbb{K})/G$ to $Y(\mathbb{K})$.

Let X be a separated $\mathbb{K}$-scheme of finite type, the Euler characteristic $\chi(X)$ of X is defined by

$$\chi(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{2\dim X} (-1)^i \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} H^i_{\mathrm{cs}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_p),$$

where p is a prime number, $\mathbb{Z}_p = \varprojlim \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}$ is the ring of p-adic integers, $\mathbb{Q}_p$ is its field of fractions and $H^i_{\mathrm{cs}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ are the compactly-supported p-adic cohomology groups of X for $i \geq 0$.

The following properties of Euler characteristic follow [3] and [9].

Proposition 2.3. *Let X, Y be separated, finite type $\mathbb{K}$-schemes and $\varphi : X \to Y$ a morphism of schemes. Then:*

(1) If Z is a closed subscheme of X, then $\chi(X) = \chi(X \setminus Z) + \chi(Z)$.

(2) $\chi(X \times Y) = \chi(X) \times \chi(Y)$.

(3) Let X be a disjoint union of finitely many subschemes $X_1, \ldots, X_n$, we have

$$\chi(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi(X_i).$$

(4) If φ is a locally trivial fibration with fibre F, then $\chi(X) = \chi(F) \cdot \chi(Y)$.

(5) $\chi(\mathbb{K}^n) = 1$, $\chi(\mathbb{KP}^n) = n + 1$ for all $n \geq 0$.

An algebraic $\mathbb{K}$-stack $\mathcal{F}$ is said to be stratified by global quotient stacks if $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}) = \amalg_{i=1}^{s} \mathcal{F}_i(\mathbb{K})$ for finitely many locally closed substacks $\mathcal{F}_i$ where each $\mathcal{F}_i$ is 1-isomorphic to a quotient stack $[X_i/G_i]$, where X_i is an algebraic $\mathbb{K}$-variety and G_i a smooth connected linear algebraic $\mathbb{K}$-group acting on X_i. By [12, Propostion 3.5.9], if $\mathcal{F}$ is a finite type algebraic $\mathbb{K}$-stack with affine geometric stabilizers, then $\mathcal{F}$ is stratified by global quotient stacks.

Let $\mathcal{F} = \amalg_{i=1}^{s} \mathcal{F}_i(\mathbb{K})$ where each $\mathcal{F}_i \cong [X_i/G_i]$ as above. By Theorem 2.2, there exists an open dense G_i-invariant subvariety X_{i1} of X_i for each i such that there exists a morphism of varieties $\phi_{i1} : X_{i1} \to Y_{i1}$, which induces a bijection between $X_{i1}(\mathbb{K})/G_i$ and $Y_{i1}(\mathbb{K})$. Then ϕ_{i1} induces a 1-morphism $\theta_{i1} : \mathcal{G}_{i1} \to Y_{i1}$, where $\mathcal{G}_{i1}$ is 1-isomorphic to $[X_{i1}/G_i]$. Note that

$$\dim(X_{i(j-1)} \setminus X_{ij}) < \dim X_{i(j-1)}$$

for $j = 1, \ldots, k_i$. Using Theorem 2.2 again, we get a stratification

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}) = \amalg_{i=1}^{s} \amalg_{j=1}^{k_i} \mathcal{G}_{ij}(\mathbb{K})$$

for $s, k_i \in \mathbb{N}^+$, where $\mathcal{G}_{ij} \cong [X_{ij}/G_i]$ such that $\phi_{ij} : X_{ij} \to Y_{ij}$ is a morphism of $\mathbb{K}$-varieties and $\theta_{ij} : \mathcal{G}_{ij} \to Y_{ij}$ a 1-morphism induced by ϕ_{ij}. Let

$$Y = \amalg_{i=1}^{s} \amalg_{j=1}^{k_i} Y_{ij} \text{ and } \Theta = \amalg_{i=1}^{s} \amalg_{j=1}^{k_i} (\theta_{ij})_* : \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}) \to Y(\mathbb{K}).$$

Then Y is a a separated $\mathbb{K}$-scheme of finite type and Θ a pseudoisomorphism (see [9, Proposition 4.4 and Proposition 4.7]).

Definition 2.4. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an algebraic $\mathbb{K}$-stack with affine geometric stabilizers and $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$ a constructible set. Then $\mathcal{C}$ is pseudoËËisomorphic to $Y(\mathbb{K})$, where Y is a separated $\mathbb{K}$-scheme of finite type by [9, Proposition 4.7]. The naïve Euler characteristic of $\mathcal{C}$ is defined by $\chi^{\mathrm{na}}(\mathcal{C}) = \chi(Y)$.

The following lemma is a generalization of Proposition 2.3 (4).

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ be algebraic $\mathbb{K}$-stacks with affine geometric stabilizers. If $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$, $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{K})$ are constructible sets, and $\Phi : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ is a surjective pseudomorphism such that all fibers have the same naïve Euler characteristic χ, then $\chi^{\mathrm{na}}(\mathcal{C}) = \chi \cdot \chi^{\mathrm{na}}(\mathcal{D})$.*

Proof. Because $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{D}$ are constructible sets, there exist separated finite type $\mathbb{K}$-schemes X, Y such that $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{D}$ are pseudoisomorphic to $X(\mathbb{K})$, $Y(\mathbb{K})$ respectively. Therefore $\chi^{\mathrm{na}}(\mathcal{C}) = \chi(X)$, $\chi^{\mathrm{na}}(\mathcal{D}) = \chi(Y)$. Then Φ induces a surjective pseudomorphism between $X(\mathbb{K})$ and $Y(\mathbb{K})$, say $\phi : X(\mathbb{K}) \to Y(\mathbb{K})$. There exist two projective morphisms $\pi_1 : \Gamma_\phi \to X(\mathbb{K})$ and $\pi_2 : \Gamma_\phi \to Y(\mathbb{K})$. Note that π_1 is also a pseudoisomorphism, that is $\chi^{\mathrm{na}}(\Gamma_\phi) = \chi(X)$, and all fibres of π_2 have the same naïve Euler characteristic χ. Then $\chi^{\mathrm{na}}(\Gamma_\phi) = \chi \cdot \chi(Y)$. Hence $\chi(X) = \chi \cdot \chi(Y)$. We finish the proof. □

Definition 2.6. A function $f : \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}) \to \mathbb{Q}$ is called a constructible function on $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$ if the codomain of f is a finite set and $f^{-1}(a)$ is a constructible subset of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$ for each $a \in f(\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})) \setminus \{0\}$. Let $\mathrm{CF}(\mathcal{F})$ denote the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space of all $\mathbb{Q}$-valued constructible functions on $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$.

Let $S \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$ be a locally constructible set. The integral of f on S is

$$\int_{x \in S} f(x) = \sum_{a \in f(S) \setminus \{0\}} a \chi^{\mathrm{na}}(f^{-1}(a) \cap S)$$

for each $f \in \mathrm{CF}(\mathcal{F})$.

We recall the pushforwards and pullbacks of constructible functions due to Joyce [9].

Definition 2.7. Let $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ be algebraic $\mathbb{K}$-stacks with affine geometric stabilizers and $\phi : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}$ a 1-morphism. For each $f \in \mathrm{CF}(\mathcal{F})$, the naïve pushforward $\phi^{\mathrm{na}}_!(f) : \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}) \to \mathbb{Q}$ of f is

$$\phi^{\mathrm{na}}_!(f)(t) = \sum_{a \in f(\phi_*^{-1}(t)) \setminus \{0\}} a \chi^{\mathrm{na}}(f^{-1}(a) \cap \phi_*^{-1}(t))$$

for each $t \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{K})$. Then $\phi^{\mathrm{na}}_!(f)$ is a constructible function for each $f \in \mathrm{CF}(\mathcal{F})$ by [9, Theorem 4.9].

Similarly, if $\Phi : \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}) \to \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{K})$ is a pseudomorphism, the naïve pushforward $\Phi^{\mathrm{na}}_!(f) : \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}) \to \mathbb{Q}$ of $f \in \mathrm{CF}(\mathcal{F})$ is defined by

$$\Phi^{\mathrm{na}}_!(f)(t) = \sum_{a \in f(\Phi^{-1}(t)) \setminus \{0\}} a \chi^{\mathrm{na}}(f^{-1}(a) \cap \Phi^{-1}(t))$$

for $t \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{K})$. Recall that $\Phi_!^{\mathrm{na}}(f) \in \mathrm{CF}(\mathcal{G})$ by [9, Theorem 4.9].

If $\phi : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}$ is a 1-morphism such that $\chi(\mathrm{Ker}(\phi_x)) = 1$ for all $x \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$, we can define a function $m_\phi : \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}) \to \mathbb{Q}$ by

$$m_\phi(x) = \chi\Big(\mathrm{Iso}_{\mathbb{K}}\big(\phi_*(x)\big)/\phi_x\big(\mathrm{Iso}_{\mathbb{K}}(x)\big)\Big)$$

for each $x \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$. For each $f \in \mathrm{CF}(\mathcal{F})$, the pushforward $\phi_!(f) : \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{K}) \to \mathbb{Q}$ of f is defined by

$$\phi_!(f) = \phi_!^{na}(f \cdot m_\phi),$$

where $(f \cdot m_\phi)(x) = f(x)m_\phi(x)$ for $x \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$. Note that $\phi_!(f) \in \mathrm{CF}(\mathcal{G})$ (see [9]).

If ϕ is a 1-morphism of finite type, then $\phi_*^{-1}(\mathcal{D}) \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K})$ is a constructible set for each constructible subset $\mathcal{D}$ of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{K})$. Then $g \circ \phi_* \in \mathrm{CF}(\mathcal{F})$ for $g \in \mathrm{CF}(\mathcal{G})$. Recall that the pullback $\phi^* : \mathrm{CF}(\mathcal{G}) \to \mathrm{CF}(\mathcal{F})$ of ϕ is defined by $\phi^*(g) = g \circ \phi_*$ and it is linear.

2.2 Stacks of objects and conflations in $\mathcal{A}$

From now on, let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S})$ be a Krull-Schmidt exact $\mathbb{K}$-category with idempotent complete (see A.1 and A.2). For simplicity, we write $\mathcal{A}$ instead of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S})$.

The isomorphism classes of $X \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ and conflations $X \xrightarrow{i} Y \xrightarrow{d} Z$ in $\mathcal{A}$ are denoted by $[X]$ and $[X \xrightarrow{i} Y \xrightarrow{d} Z]$ (or $[(X, Y, Z, i, d)]$), respectively. Two conflations $X \xrightarrow{i} Y \xrightarrow{d} Z$ and $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$ are isomorphic if there exist isomorphisms $a : X \to A$, $b : Y \to B$ and $c : Z \to C$ in $\mathcal{A}$ such that the following diagram is communicative

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{i} & Y & \xrightarrow{d} & Z \\ {\scriptstyle a}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle b}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle c} \\ A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{g} & C \end{array} \tag{1}$$

The morphism (a, b, c) is called an isomorphism of conflations in $\mathcal{A}$.

Assumption 2.8. Assume that $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y)$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y)$ are finite for all $X, Y \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$. Let $K(\mathcal{A})$ denote the quotient group of the Grothendieck group $K_0(\mathcal{A})$ such that $[\tilde{X}] = 0$ in $K(\mathcal{A})$ implies that X is a zero object in $\mathcal{A}$, where $[\tilde{X}]$ denotes the image of X in $K(\mathcal{A})$.

The following 2-categories are defined in [8].

Let $\mathrm{Sch}_{\mathbb{K}}$ be a 2-category of $\mathbb{K}$-schemes such that objects are $\mathbb{K}$-schemes, 1-morphisms morphisms of schemes and 2-morphisms only the natural transformations id_f for all 1-morphisms f. Let (exactcat) denote the 2-category of all exact categories with 1-morphisms exact functors of exact categories and 2-morphisms natural transformations between the exact functors. If all morphisms of a category are isomorphisms, then the category is called a groupoid. Let (groupoids) be the 2-category with objects groupoids, 1-morphisms functors

of groupoids and 2-morphisms natural transformations (also see [8, Definition 2.8]).

In [8, Section 7.1], Joyce defined a stack $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathrm{Sch}_{\mathbb{K}} :\to$ (exactcat) associated to the exact category $\mathcal{A}$ (the original definition is for abelian category, it can be extended to exact categories directly), where $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a contravariant 2-functor and satisfies the condition $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{K})) = \mathcal{A}$. Applying $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}$, he defined two moduli stacks

$$\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathfrak{Exact}_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathrm{Sch}_{\mathbb{K}} \to (\text{groupoids})$$

which are contravatiant 2-functors ([8, Definition 7.2]). The 2-functor

$$\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}} = F \circ \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}},$$

where F : (exactcat) $\to$ (groupoids) is a forgetful 2-functor as follows. For an exact category G, $F(G)$ is a groupoid such that $\mathrm{Obj}(F(G)) = \mathrm{Obj}(G)$ and morphisms are isomorphisms in G. For $U \in \mathrm{Sch}_{\mathbb{K}}$, a category $\mathfrak{Exact}_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$ is a groupoid whose objects are conflations in $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$ and morphisms isomorphisms of conflations in $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$.

Let $\eta : U \to V$ and $\theta : V \to W$ be morphisms of schemes in $\mathrm{Sch}_{\mathbb{K}}$. Obviously, the functors $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\eta) : \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(V) \to \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$ and $\mathfrak{Exact}_{\mathcal{A}}(\eta) : \mathfrak{Exact}_{\mathcal{A}}(V) \to \mathfrak{Exact}_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$ are induced by $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}(\eta) : \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}(V) \to \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$. The natural transformations $\epsilon_{\theta,\eta} : \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\eta) \circ \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\theta) \to \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\theta \circ \eta)$ and $\epsilon_{\theta,\eta} : \mathfrak{Exact}_{\mathcal{A}}(\eta) \circ \mathfrak{Exact}_{\mathcal{A}}(\theta) \to \mathfrak{Exact}_{\mathcal{A}}(\theta \circ \eta)$ are also induced by $\epsilon_{\theta,\eta} : \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}(\eta) \circ \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}(\theta) \to \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}(\theta \circ \eta)$.

Let

$$K'(\mathcal{A}) = \{[\tilde{X}] \in K(\mathcal{A}) \mid X \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{A})\} \subset K(\mathcal{A}).$$

For each $\alpha \in K'(\mathcal{A})$, Joyce defined $\mathfrak{Obj}^{\alpha}_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathrm{Sch}_{\mathbb{K}} \to$ (groupoids) which is a substack of $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}$ in [8, Definition 7.4]. For each $U \in \mathrm{Sch}_{\mathbb{K}}$, $\mathfrak{Obj}^{\alpha}_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$ is a full subcategory of $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$. For each object X in $\mathfrak{Obj}^{\alpha}_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$, the image of $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(f)(X)$ in $K(\mathcal{A})$ is α for each morphism $f : \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{K}) \to U$.

Let $\eta : U \to V$ and $\theta : V \to W$ be morphisms in $\mathrm{Sch}_{\mathbb{K}}$. The functor $\mathfrak{Obj}^{\alpha}_{\mathcal{A}}(\eta) : \mathfrak{Obj}^{\alpha}_{\mathcal{A}}(V) \to \mathfrak{Obj}^{\alpha}_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$ is defined by restriction from $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\eta) : \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(V) \to \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$. The natural transformation $\epsilon_{\theta,\eta} : \mathfrak{Obj}^{\alpha}_{\mathcal{A}}(\eta) \circ \mathfrak{Obj}^{\alpha}_{\mathcal{A}}(\theta) \to \mathfrak{Obj}^{\alpha}_{\mathcal{A}}(\theta \circ \eta)$ is restricted from $\epsilon_{\theta,\eta} : \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\eta) \circ \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\theta) \to \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\theta \circ \eta)$.

For $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in K'(\mathcal{A})$ and $\beta = \alpha + \gamma$, $\mathfrak{Exact}^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathrm{Sch}_{\mathbb{K}} \to$ (groupoids) is defined as follows. For $U \in \mathrm{Sch}_{\mathbb{K}}$, $\mathfrak{Exact}^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$ is a full subcategory of $\mathfrak{Exact}_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$. The objects of $\mathfrak{Exact}^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$ are conflations

$$X \xrightarrow{i} Y \xrightarrow{d} Z \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathfrak{Exact}_{\mathcal{A}}(U)),$$

where $X \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathfrak{Obj}^{\alpha}_{\mathcal{A}}(U))$, $Y \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathfrak{Obj}^{\beta}_{\mathcal{A}}(U))$ and $Z \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathfrak{Obj}^{\gamma}_{\mathcal{A}}(U))$. Similarly, the morphism $\mathfrak{Exact}^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}_{\mathcal{A}}(\eta)$ and natural transformation $\epsilon_{\theta,\eta}$ are defined by restriction.

The following theorem is taking from [8, Theorem 7.5].

Theorem 2.9. *The 2-functors $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}$, $\mathfrak{Exact}_{\mathcal{A}}$ are $\mathbb{K}$-stacks, and $\mathfrak{Obj}^{\alpha}_{\mathcal{A}}$, $\mathfrak{Exact}^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}_{\mathcal{A}}$ are open and closed $\mathbb{K}$-substacks of them respectively. There are disjoint unions*

$$\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}} = \amalg_{\alpha \in K'(\mathcal{A})} \mathfrak{Obj}^{\alpha}_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathfrak{Exact}_{\mathcal{A}} = \amalg_{\substack{\alpha,\beta,\gamma \in K'(\mathcal{A}) \\ \beta = \alpha + \gamma}} \mathfrak{Exact}^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}_{\mathcal{A}}.$$

Assume that $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathfrak{Exact}_{\mathcal{A}}$ are locally of finite type algebraic $\mathbb{K}$-stacks with affine algebraic stabilizers. Recall that $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$ and $\mathfrak{Exact}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$ are the collection of isomorphism classes of objects in $\mathcal{A}$ and the collection of isomorphism classes of conflations in $\mathcal{A}$, respectively. For each $\alpha \in K'(\mathcal{A})$, $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{K})$ is the collection of isomorphism classes of $X \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $[\tilde{X}] = \alpha$ (see [10, Section 3.2]).

Example 2.10. Let $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, s, t)$ be a finite connected quiver, where $Q_0 = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ is the set of vertices, Q_1 is the set of arrows and $s : Q_1 \to Q_0$ (resp. $t : Q_1 \to Q_0$) is a map such that $s(\rho)$ (resp. $t(\rho)$) is the source (resp. target) of ρ for $\rho \in Q_1$. Let $A = \mathbb{C}Q$ be the path algebra of Q and mod-A denote the category of all finite dimensional right A-modules.

Let $\underline{d} = (d_j)_{j \in Q_0}$ for all $d_j \in \mathbb{N}$. There is an affine variety

$$\mathrm{Rep}(Q, \underline{d}) = \bigoplus_{\rho \in Q_1} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{d_{s(\rho)}}, \mathbb{C}^{d_{t(\rho)}}).$$

For each $x = (x_\rho)_{\rho \in Q_1} \in \mathrm{Rep}(Q, \underline{d})$, there is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear representation $M(x) = (\mathbb{C}^{d_j}, x_\rho)_{j \in Q_0, \rho \in Q_1}$ of Q. Let $\mathrm{rep}(Q)$ denote the category of finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-linear representations of Q. Recall that $\mathrm{rep}(Q) \cong$ mod-$\mathcal{A}$. We identify $\mathrm{rep}(Q)$ with mod-$\mathcal{A}$. The linear algebraic group

$$\mathrm{GL}(\underline{d}) = \prod_{j \in Q_0} \mathrm{GL}(d_j, \mathbb{C})$$

acts on $\mathrm{Rep}(Q, \underline{d})$ by $g.x = (g_{t(\rho)} x_\rho g_{s(\rho)}^{-1})_{\rho \in Q_1}$ for $g = (g_j)_{j \in Q_0} \in \mathrm{GL}(\underline{d})$.

A complex $M^\bullet = (M^{(i)}, \partial^i)$, where $M^{(i)} \in \mathrm{Obj}(\text{mod-}\mathcal{A})$ and $\partial^{i+1}\partial^i = 0$, is bounded if there exist some positive integers n_0 and n_1 such that $M^{(i)} = 0$ for $i \leq -n_0$ or $i \geq n_1$. Let $\underline{\dim} M^{(i)} = \underline{d}^{(i)}$ be the dimension vector of $M^{(i)}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. The vector sequence $(\underline{d}^{(i)})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of $M^\bullet$ is denoted by $\underline{\mathbf{ds}}(M^\bullet)$.

Let $\mathcal{C}(Q, \underline{\mathbf{d}})$ denote the affine variety consisting of all complexes $M^\bullet$ with $\underline{\mathbf{ds}}(M^\bullet) = \underline{\mathbf{d}}$. The group $G(\underline{\mathbf{d}}) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{GL}(\underline{d}^{(i)})$ is a linear algebraic group acting on $\mathcal{C}^b(Q, \underline{\mathbf{d}})$. The action is induced by the actions of $\mathrm{GL}(\underline{d}^{(i)})$ on $\mathrm{Rep}(Q, \underline{d}^{(i)})$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, that is

$$(g^{(i)})_i.(x^{(i)}, \partial^i)_i = (g^{(i)}.x^{(i)}, g^{(i+1)}\partial^i(g^{(i)})^{-1})_i.$$

Let $\{P_1, \ldots, P_n\}$ be a set of representatives for all isomorphism classes of finite dimensional indecomposable projective A-modules. A complex $P^\bullet =$

$$\ldots \to P^{(i-1)} \xrightarrow{\partial^{i-1}} P^{(i)} \xrightarrow{\partial^i} P^{(i+1)} \to \ldots$$

is projective if $P^{(i)} \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n} m_j^{(i)} P_j$ for $m_j^{(i)} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let

$$\underline{e}(P^{(i)}) = \underline{m}^{(i)} = (m_1^{(i)}, \ldots, m_n^{(i)})$$

be a vector corresponding to $P^{(i)}$. By the Krull-Schmidt Theorem, $\underline{e}(P^{(i)})$ is unique. The dimension vector of $P^\bullet$ can be defined by

$$\underline{\mathbf{dim}}(P^\bullet) = (\ldots, \underline{m}^{(i-1)}, \underline{m}^{(i)}, \underline{m}^{(i+1)}, \ldots).$$

A dimension vector $\underline{\mathbf{dim}}(P^\bullet)$ is bounded if $P^\bullet$ is bounded.

let $\underline{\mathbf{m}} = (\underline{m}^{(i)})_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be a bounded dimension vector and $\underline{\mathbf{d}}(\underline{\mathbf{m}}) = (\underline{d}^{(i)})_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be the vector sequence of a complex whose dimension vector is $\underline{\mathbf{m}}$. Let $\mathcal{P}^b(Q, \underline{\mathbf{m}})$ be the set of all bounded project complexes $P^\bullet$ with $\underline{\mathbf{dim}}(P^\bullet) = \underline{\mathbf{m}}$ and $\underline{\mathbf{ds}}(P^\bullet) = \underline{\mathbf{d}}(\underline{\mathbf{m}})$. Note that $\mathcal{P}^b(Q, \underline{\mathbf{m}})$ is a locally closed subset of $\mathcal{C}^b(Q, \underline{\mathbf{d}}(\underline{\mathbf{m}}))$. An action of $G(\underline{\mathbf{d}}(\underline{\mathbf{m}}))$ on the variety $\mathcal{P}^b(Q, \underline{\mathbf{m}})$ is induced by the action of $G(\underline{\mathbf{d}}(\underline{\mathbf{m}}))$ on $\mathcal{C}^b(Q, \underline{\mathbf{d}}(\underline{\mathbf{m}}))$.

Let $\mathcal{P}^b(Q)$ denote the exact category with objects bounded project complexes and morphisms $\phi : P^\bullet \to Q^\bullet$ morphisms between bounded projective complexes. The Grothendieck group

$$K_0(\mathcal{P}^b(Q)) \cong \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}^n_{(i)},$$

where $\mathbb{Z}^n_{(i)} = \mathbb{Z}^n$. Note that $K(\mathcal{P}^b(Q)) = K_0(\mathcal{P}^b(Q))$ and

$$K'(\mathcal{P}^b(Q)) \cong \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{N}^n_{(i)},$$

where $\mathbb{N}^n_{(i)} = \mathbb{N}^n$.

Joyce defined $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{mod}-\mathbb{K}Q}$ in [8, Example 10.5]. Similarly, for each $U \in \mathrm{Sch}_{\mathbb{K}}$, we define $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(U)$ to be the category as follows.

The objects of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(U)$ are complexes of sheaves $P^\bullet = (P^{(i)}, \partial^i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$, where $P^{(i)} = (\bigoplus_{j\in Q_0} X^{(i)}_j, x^i)$ and $\partial^{i+1}\partial^i = 0$. The data $X^{(i)}_j$ are locally free sheaves of finite rank on U and $x^i = (x^i_\rho)_{\rho\in Q_1}$, where $x^i_\rho : X^{(i)}_{s(\rho)} \to X^{(i)}_{t(\rho)}$ are morphisms of sheaves, such that $P^{(i)} = (\bigoplus_{j\in Q_0} X^{(i)}_j, x^i)$ are projective $\mathbb{C}Q$-modules for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. The morphisms of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(U)$ are morphisms of complexes $\phi^\bullet : (P^{(i)}, \partial^i) \to (Q^{(i)}, d^i)$, where $Q^{(i)} = (\bigoplus_{j\in Q_0} Y^{(i)}_j, y^i)$ and $\phi^\bullet$ is a sequence of morphisms

$$(\phi^i : P^{(i)} \to Q^{(i)})_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$$

with $\phi^i = (\phi^i_j : X^{(i)}_j \to Y^{(i)}_j)_{j\in Q_0}$ such that $\phi^{i+1}\partial^i = d^i\phi^i$ and $\phi^i_{t(\rho)}x^i_\rho = y^i_\rho\phi^i_{s(\rho)}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\rho \in Q_1$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(U)$ is an exact category.

Let $\eta : U \to V$ be a morphism in $\mathrm{Sch}_{\mathbb{K}}$. A functor

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(\eta) : \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(V) \to \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(U)$$

is defined as follows. If $(P^{(i)}, \partial^i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(V))$,

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(\eta)(P^{(i)}, \partial^i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} = (\eta^*(P^{(i)}), \eta^*(\partial^i))_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$$

for $\eta^*(P^{(i)}) = \big(\bigoplus_{j\in Q_0}\eta^*(X_j^{(i)}), (\eta^*(x_\rho^i))_{\rho\in Q_1}\big)$, where $\eta^*(X_j^{(i)})$ are the inverse images of $X_j^{(i)}$ by the morphism η, $\eta^*(\partial^i) : \eta^*(P^{(i)}) \to \eta^*(P^{(i+1)})$ with $\eta^*(\partial^{i+1})\eta^*(\partial^i) = 0$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$\eta^*(x_\rho^i) : \eta^*(X_{s(\rho)}^{(i)}) \to \eta^*(X_{t(\rho)}^{(i)})$$

for $\rho \in Q_1$ are pullbacks of morphisms between inverse images. For a morphism $\phi^\bullet : (P^{(i)}, \partial^i) \to (Q^{(i)}, d^i)$ in $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(V)$, the morphism

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(\eta)(\phi^\bullet) : \big(\eta^*(P^\bullet), \eta^*(\partial^i)\big) \to \big(\eta^*(Q^\bullet), \eta^*(d^i)\big)$$

is a sequence of morphisms

$$\Big(\eta^*(\phi^i) : \big(\bigoplus_{j\in Q_0}\eta^*(X_j^{(i)}), (\eta^*(x_\rho^i))_\rho\big) \to \big(\bigoplus_{j\in Q_0}\eta^*(Y_j^{(i)}), (\eta^*(y_\rho^i))_\rho\big)\Big)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}},$$

with $\eta^*(\phi^{i+1})\eta^*(\partial^i) = \eta^*(d^i)\eta^*(\phi^i)$, where $\eta^*(d^i)$ are pullbacks of morphisms between inverse images which satisfy $\eta^*(d^{i+1})\eta^*(d^i) = 0$, and

$$\eta^*(Q^\bullet) = \Big(\bigoplus_{j\in Q_0}\eta^*(Y_j^{(i)}), (\eta^*(y_\rho^i))_{\rho\in Q_1}\Big)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$$

such that the pullbacks

$$\eta^*(\phi_j^i) : \eta^*(X_j^{(i)}) \to \eta^*(Y_j^{(i)})$$

satisfy $\eta^*(\phi_{t(\rho)}^i)\eta^*(x_\rho^i) = \eta^*(y_\rho^i)\eta^*(\phi_{s(\rho)}^i)$. Because locally free sheaves are flat, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(\eta)(\phi^\bullet)$ is an exact functor.

Let $\eta : U \to V$ and $\theta : V \to W$ be morphisms in $\mathrm{Sch}_{\mathbb{K}}$. As in [8, Example 9.1], for each $P^\bullet \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(W))$, there is a canonical isomorphism $\epsilon_{\theta,\eta}(P^\bullet) : \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(\eta)\circ\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(\theta)(P^\bullet) \to \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(\theta\circ\eta)(P^\bullet)$. We get a 2-isomorphism of functors

$$\epsilon_{\theta,\eta} : \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(\eta)\circ\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(\theta) \to \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(\theta\circ\eta)$$

by the canonical isomorphisms. Thus we have the 2-functor $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}$.

The set $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(\mathbb{C})$ consists of all isomorphism classes of complexes in $\mathcal{P}^b(Q)$.

As in [8, Definition 7.7] and [10, Section 3.2], we have the following 1-morphisms

$$\pi_l : \mathfrak{Exact}_{\mathcal{A}} \to \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}$$

which induces a map $(\pi_l)_* : \mathfrak{Exact}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K}) \to \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$ defined by $[X \xrightarrow{i} Y \xrightarrow{d} Z] \mapsto [X]$;

$$\pi_m : \mathfrak{Exact}_{\mathcal{A}} \to \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}$$

such that the induced map $(\pi_m)_* : \mathfrak{Exact}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K}) \to \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$ maps $[X \xrightarrow{i} Y \xrightarrow{d} Z]$ to $[Y]$;

$$\pi_r : \mathfrak{Exact}_{\mathcal{A}} \to \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}$$

inducing the map $(\pi_r)_* : \mathfrak{Exact}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K}) \to \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$ by $[X \xrightarrow{i} Y \xrightarrow{d} Z] \mapsto [Z]$.

The map $\pi_{l*} \times \pi_{r*} : \mathfrak{Exact}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K}) \to \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K}) \times \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$ is defined by $(\pi_{l*} \times \pi_{r*})([X \xrightarrow{i} Y \xrightarrow{d} Z]) = ([X], [Z])$. Note that $(\pi_l \times \pi_r)_* = \pi_{l*} \times \pi_{r*}$.

3 Hall Algebras

3.1 Constructible sets of stratified Krull-Schmidt

These definitions are related to [3].

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_2$ be two constructible subsets of $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$, the direct sum of $\mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_2$ is

$$\mathcal{O}_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}_2 = \big\{[X_1 \oplus X_2] \mid [X_1] \in \mathcal{O}_1, [X_2] \in \mathcal{O}_2 \text{ and } X_1, X_2 \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{A})\big\}.$$

Let $n\mathcal{O}$ denote the direct sum of n copies of $\mathcal{O}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and $0\mathcal{O} = \{[0]\}$. Similarly, let nX denote the direct sum of n copies of $X \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$. A constructible subset $\mathcal{O}$ of $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$ is called indecomposable if $X \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ is indecomposable and $X \ncong 0$ for every $[X] \in \mathcal{O}$.

A constructible set $\mathcal{O}$ is called to be of Krull-Schmidt if

$$\mathcal{O} = n_1\mathcal{O}_1 \oplus n_2\mathcal{O}_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus n_k\mathcal{O}_k,$$

where $\mathcal{O}_i$ are indecomposable constructible sets and $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$. If a constructible set $\mathcal{Q} = \amalg_{i=1}^n \mathcal{Q}_i$, where $\mathcal{Q}_i$ are constructible sets of Krull-Schmidt for $1 \leq i \leq n$, namely $\mathcal{Q}$ is a disjoint union of finitely many constructible sets of Krull-Schmidt, then $\mathcal{Q}$ is said to be a constructible set of stratified Krull-Schmidt.

Let $\mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_2$ be two indecomposable constructible sets. If $\mathcal{O}_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_2 \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{O}_1 \neq \mathcal{O}_2$, we have

$$\mathcal{O}_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}_2 = 2(\mathcal{O}_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_2) \amalg \Big(\big(\mathcal{O}_1 \setminus (\mathcal{O}_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_2)\big) \oplus \big(\mathcal{O}_2 \setminus (\mathcal{O}_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_2)\big)\Big)$$

$$\amalg \Big((\mathcal{O}_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_2) \oplus \big(\mathcal{O}_2 \setminus (\mathcal{O}_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_2)\big)\Big) \amalg \big((\mathcal{O}_1 \setminus (\mathcal{O}_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_2)) \oplus (\mathcal{O}_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_2)\big).$$

If $\mathcal{Q} = m_1\mathcal{O}_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus m_l\mathcal{O}_l$ is a constructible set of Krull-Schmidt, we can write $\mathcal{Q} = \amalg_{i=1}^n \mathcal{Q}_i$ as a constructible set of stratified Krull-Schmidt, where

$$\mathcal{Q}_i = n_{i1}\mathcal{O}_{i1} \oplus n_{i2}\mathcal{O}_{i2} \oplus \ldots \oplus n_{ik_i}\mathcal{O}_{ik_i}$$

for indecomposable constructible sets $\mathcal{O}_{ij}$ which are disjoint each other. Hence we can assume that $\mathcal{O}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{O}_l$ are disjoint each other.

Let $\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ be the subspace of $\mathrm{CF}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ which is spanned by characteristic functions $1_{\mathcal{O}}$ for constructible sets of stratified Krull-Schmidt $\mathcal{O}$, where each $1_{\mathcal{O}}$ satisfies that $1_{\mathcal{O}}([X]) = 1$ for $[X] \in \mathcal{O}$, and $1_{\mathcal{O}}([X]) = 0$ otherwise.

Example 3.2. Let $\mathbb{P}^1$ be the projective line over $\mathbb{K}$ and $\mathrm{coh}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ denote the category of coherent sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^1$.

Let $O(n)$ denote an indecomposable locally free coherent sheaf whose rank and degree are equal to 1 and n respectively. Let $S_x^{[r]}$ be an indecomposable torsion sheaf such that $\mathrm{rk}(S_x^{[r]}) = 0$, $\deg(S_x^{[r]}) = r$ and the support of $S_x^{[r]}$ is $\{x\}$ for $x \in \mathbb{P}^1$. The Grothendieck group $K_0(\mathrm{coh}(\mathbb{P}^1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$. The data $K(\mathrm{coh}(\mathbb{P}^1))$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{coh}(\mathbb{P}^1)}$ are defined in [8, Example 9.1]. The set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects in $\mathrm{coh}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is

$$\{[S_x^{[d]}] \mid x \in \mathbb{P}^1, d \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{[O(n)] \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Recall that a non-trivial subset $U \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ is closed (resp. open) if U is a finite (resp. cofinite) set. Let $\mathcal{O}_d$ be a finite or cofinite subset of $\{[S_x^{[d]}] \mid x \in \mathbb{P}\}$ for each $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $\mathcal{O}_0$ a finite subset of $\{[O(n)] \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Then $\mathcal{O}_d$ and $\mathcal{O}_0$ are indecomposable constructible subsets of $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathrm{coh}(\mathbb{P}^1)}(\mathbb{K})$. Note that every indecomposable constructible subset of $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathrm{coh}(\mathbb{P}^1)}(\mathbb{K})$ is of the form

$$\mathcal{O}_0 \amalg \mathcal{O}_{i_1} \amalg \ldots \amalg \mathcal{O}_{i_n}$$

for $1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_n$. Then the finite direct sum $\oplus(\mathcal{O}_0 \amalg \mathcal{O}_{i_1} \amalg \ldots \amalg \mathcal{O}_{i_n})$ is a constructible set of Krull-Schmidt. Every constructible set of Krull-Schmidt in $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathrm{coh}(\mathbb{P}^1)}(\mathbb{K})$ is of the form. A constructible set of stratified Krull-Schmidt is a disjoint union of finitely many constructible sets of Krull-Schmidt.

Example 3.3. In Example 2.10, $\mathfrak{Obj}^{\underline{\mathbf{m}}}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(\mathbb{C})$ is the set of all isomorphism classes of project complexes in $\mathcal{P}^b(Q, \underline{\mathbf{m}})$. Note that

$$\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(\mathbb{C}) = \amalg_{\underline{\mathbf{m}} \in K'(\mathcal{P}^b(Q))} \mathfrak{Obj}^{\underline{\mathbf{m}}}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(\mathbb{C}).$$

There is a canonical map

$$p_{\underline{\mathbf{m}}} : \mathcal{P}^b(Q, \underline{\mathbf{m}}) \to \mathfrak{Obj}^{\underline{\mathbf{m}}}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(\mathbb{C})$$

which maps $P^\bullet$ to $[P^\bullet]$. A subset $U \subseteq \mathfrak{Obj}^{\underline{\mathbf{m}}}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(\mathbb{C})$ is closed (resp. open) if $p^{-1}_{\underline{\mathbf{m}}}(U)$ is closed (resp. open) in $\mathcal{P}^b(Q, \underline{\mathbf{m}})$. A subset $V_{\underline{\mathbf{m}}} \subseteq \mathfrak{Obj}^{\underline{\mathbf{m}}}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(\mathbb{C})$ is locally closed if it is an intersection of a closed subset and an open subset of $\mathfrak{Obj}^{\underline{\mathbf{m}}}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(\mathbb{C})$. A subset $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{P}^b(Q)}(\mathbb{C})$ is constructible if it is a finite disjoint union of locally closed sets $V_{\underline{\mathbf{m}}}$. Every indecomposable constructible set $\mathcal{O}$ is of the form $\coprod_{\underline{\mathbf{m}} \in S} V_{\underline{\mathbf{m}}}$, where S is a finite set and each complex in $p^{-1}_{\underline{\mathbf{m}}}(V_{\underline{\mathbf{m}}})$ is an indecomposable complex.

3.2 Automorphism groups of conflations

For each $X \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$, suppose that $X = n_1X_1 \oplus n_2X_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus n_tX_t$, where X_i are indecomposable for $i = 1, \ldots, t$ and $X_i \ncong X_j$ for $i \neq j$. Then we have

$$\mathrm{Aut}(X) \cong (1 + rad\,\mathrm{End}(A)) \rtimes \sum_{i=1}^{t} \mathrm{GL}(n_i, \mathbb{K}).$$

The rank of maximal torus of $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ is denoted by $\mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{Aut}(X)$. Let $n = n_1 + n_2 + \ldots + n_t$. Thus the number of indecomposable direct summands of X is n, which is denoted by $\gamma(X)$. Note that $\gamma(X) = \mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{Aut}(X)$. Let

$$\gamma(\mathcal{O}) = \max\{\gamma(X) \mid [X] \in \mathcal{O}\}$$

for each constructible set $\mathcal{O}$ in $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$.

Let $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$ be a conflation in $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)$ denote the group of (a_1, a_2, a_3) for $a_1 \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$, $a_2 \in \mathrm{Aut}(Y)$ and $a_3 \in \mathrm{Aut}(Z)$ such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \\ {\scriptstyle a_1}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle a_2}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a_3} \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \end{array}$$

The homomorphism

$$p_1 : \mathrm{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z) \to \mathrm{Aut}(Y)$$

is defined by $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \mapsto a_2$. If $p_1((a_1, a_2, a_3)) = p_1((a_1', a_2, a_3'))$ then $f(a_1 - a_1') = 0$ and $(a_3 - a_3')g = 0$. We have $a_1 = a_1'$ and $a_3 = a_3'$ since f is an inflation and g a deflation. Hence p_1 is an injective homomorphism of affine algebraic $\mathbb{K}$-groups and

$$\mathrm{rk}(\mathrm{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)) = \mathrm{rk}\ \mathrm{Im}p_1 \leq \mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{Aut}(Y) \tag{2}$$

Let

$$p_2 : \mathrm{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z) \to \mathrm{Aut}(X) \times \mathrm{Aut}(Z)$$

be a homomorphism given by $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \mapsto (a_1, a_3)$. If $p_2((a_1, a_2, a_3)) = p_2((a_1, a_2', a_3))$, then $(a_2 - a_2')f = 0$ and $g(a_2 - a_2')$=0, we have

$$a_2 - a_2' \in (\mathrm{Hom}(Z, Y)g) \cap (f\,\mathrm{Hom}(Y, X)).$$

Observe that $\mathrm{Ker}p_2$ is a linear space. It follows that $\chi(\mathrm{Ker}p_2) = 1$ and

$$\mathrm{rk}\ \mathrm{Im}(p_2) \leq \mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{Aut}(X) + \mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{Aut}(Z). \tag{3}$$

Two conflations $X \xrightarrow{i} Y \xrightarrow{d} Z$ and $X' \xrightarrow{i'} Y \xrightarrow{d'} Z'$ in $\mathcal{A}$ are said to be equivalent if there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{i} & Y & \xrightarrow{d} & Z \\ {\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle 1_Y}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\ X' & \xrightarrow{i'} & Y & \xrightarrow{d'} & Z' \end{array}$$

where both f and g are isomorphisms. If the two conflations are equivalent, we write $X \xrightarrow{i} Y \xrightarrow{d} Z \sim X' \xrightarrow{i'} Y \xrightarrow{d'} Z'$. The equivalence class of $X \xrightarrow{i} Y \xrightarrow{d} Z$ is denoted by $\langle X \xrightarrow{i} Y \xrightarrow{d} Z\rangle$. Define

$$V(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2; Y) = \big\{\langle X \xrightarrow{i} Y \xrightarrow{d} Z\rangle \mid X \xrightarrow{i} Y \xrightarrow{d} Z \in \mathcal{S}, [X] \in \mathcal{O}_1, [Z] \in \mathcal{O}_2\big\},$$

where $\mathcal{S}$ is the collection of all conflations of $\mathcal{A}$.

3.3 Associative algebras and Lie algebras

For $f, g \in \mathrm{CF}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$, define $f{\cdot}g$ by $(f{\cdot}g)([X],[Y]) = f([X])g([Y])$ for $([X],[Y]) \in \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K}) \times \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$. Thus $f \cdot g \in \mathrm{CF}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$. The pushforward of π_m is well-defined since p_1 is injective. The following definition of multiplication is taken from [10, Definition 4.1].

Definition 3.4. Using the following diagram

$$\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}} \xleftarrow{\pi_l \times \pi_r} \mathfrak{Exact}_{\mathcal{A}} \xrightarrow{\pi_m} \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}},$$

we can define the convolution multiplication

$$\mathrm{CF}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}) \xrightarrow{(\pi_l \times \pi_r)^*} \mathrm{CF}(\mathfrak{Exact}_{\mathcal{A}}) \xrightarrow{(\pi_m)_!} \mathrm{CF}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}).$$

The multiplication $* : \mathrm{CF}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}) \times \mathrm{CF}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}) \to \mathrm{CF}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is a bilinear map defined by

$$f * g = (\pi_m)_![(\pi_l \times \pi_r)^*(f \cdot g)] = (\pi_m)_![\pi_l^*(f) \cdot \pi_r^*(g)].$$

Let $\mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_2$ be constructible subsets of $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$, the meaning of $1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}$ can be understood as follows. The function $m_{\pi_m} : \mathfrak{Exact}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K}) \to \mathbb{Q}$, which is defined by

$$m_{\pi_m}([X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z]) = \chi\big[\operatorname{Aut}(Y)/p_1\big(\operatorname{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)\big)\big],$$

is a locally constructible function on $\mathfrak{Exact}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$ by [9, Proposition 4.16], namely $m_{\pi_m}|_{\mathcal{O}}$ is a constructible function on $\mathcal{O}$ for every constructible subset $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathfrak{Exact}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$.

For each $[Y] \in \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$,

$$1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}([Y]) = \sum_{c \in \Lambda^Y_{\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_2}} c\chi^{na}(Q_c),$$

where

$$\Lambda^Y_{\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_2} = \{c = m_{\pi_m}([A \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} B]) \mid [A] \in \mathcal{O}_1, [B] \in \mathcal{O}_2\} \setminus \{0\}$$

is a finite set, and

$$Q_c = \{[A \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} B] \mid [A] \in \mathcal{O}_1, [B] \in \mathcal{O}_2, m_{\pi_m}([A \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} B]) = c\}$$

are constructible sets for $c \in \Lambda^Y_{\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_2}$. In fact, the 1-morphism $\pi_l \times \pi_r$ is of finite type by [8, Theorem 8.4]. Hence $(\pi_{l*} \times \pi_{r*})^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_1 \times \mathcal{O}_2)$ is a constructible subset of $\mathfrak{Exact}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Then

$$\Lambda^Y_{\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_2} = m_{\pi_m}\big[\big((\pi_{l*} \times \pi_{r*})^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_1 \times \mathcal{O}_2)\big) \cap \big((\pi_{m*})^{-1}([Y])\big)\big] \setminus \{0\}$$

is a finite set by [9, Proposition 4.6]. Therefore

$$Q_c = m^{-1}_{\pi_m}(c) \cap [(\pi_{l*} \times \pi_{r*})^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_1 \times \mathcal{O}_2)] \cap ((\pi_{m*})^{-1}([Y]))$$

are constructible for all $c \in \Lambda^Y_{\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_2}$.

For each $([X], [Z]) \in \mathcal{O}_1 \times \mathcal{O}_2$, let

$$\Lambda^Y_{XZ} = \big\{c = m_{\pi_m}([X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z]) \mid [X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z] \in \mathfrak{Exact}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})\big\}$$

and

$$Q^{X,Z}_c = \big\{[X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z] \;\Big|\; m_{\pi_m}([X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z]) = c\big\},$$

where Λ^Y_{XZ} is a finite set and $Q^{X,Z}_c$ are constructible sets for all $c \in \Lambda^Y_{XZ}$. Then

$$(1_{[X]} * 1_{[Z]})([Y]) = \sum_{c \in \Lambda^Y_{XZ}} c\chi^{na}(Q^{X,Z}_c).$$

Let

$$\pi_1 : V(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2; Y) \to \bigcup_{c \in \Lambda^Y_{\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_2}} Q_c$$

be a morphism given by $\langle X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z\rangle \mapsto ([X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z])$. For each fibre of π_1, $\chi^{\mathrm{na}}(\pi_1^{-1}([X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z])) = \chi\Big(\operatorname{Aut}(Y)/p_1\big(\operatorname{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)\big)\Big)$. The set

$$\Big\{\chi\Big(\operatorname{Aut}(Y)/p_1\big(\operatorname{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)\big)\Big) \mid [X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z] \in \bigcup_{c \in \Lambda^Y_{\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_2}} Q_c\Big\}$$

is finite since $\chi(\operatorname{Aut}(Y)/\operatorname{Im}p_1) = m_{\pi_m}([X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z])$.

If $U \subseteq V(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2; Y)$ is a constructible set, then

$$\chi^{\mathrm{na}}(U) = \sum_c c\chi^{na}(P_c), \tag{4}$$

where $P_c = \big\{[X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z] \mid \langle X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z\rangle \in U, m_{\pi_m}([X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z]) = c\big\}$.

Consequently, we have the naïve Euler characteristics of $V([X], [Z]; Y)$ and $V(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2; Y)$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $X, Y, Z \in \operatorname{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2$ be constructible sets. Then*

$$\chi^{\mathrm{na}}(V([X], [Z]; Y)) = \sum_{c \in \Lambda^Y_{XZ}} c\chi^{na}(Q^{X,Z}_c) = 1_{[X]} * 1_{[Z]}([Y]),$$

$$\chi^{\mathrm{na}}(V(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2; Y)) = \sum_{c \in \Lambda^Y_{\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_2}} c\chi^{\mathrm{na}}(Q_c) = 1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}([Y]).$$

The following result is due to [3, Proposition 6] and [10, Theorem 4.3].

Theorem 3.6. *The $\mathbb{Q}$-space $\mathrm{CF}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is an associative $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra, with convolution multiplication $*$ and identity $1_{[0]}$, where $1_{[0]}$ is the characteristic function of $[0] \in \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$.*

Proof. The proof of the theorem is quite similar to that in [10, Theorem 4.3] and so is omitted. □

Joyce defined $\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ to be the subspace of $\mathrm{CF}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ such that if $f([X]) \neq 0$ then X is an indecomposable object in $\mathcal{A}$ for every $f \in \mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$. There is a result of [3, Theorem 13] and [10, Theorem 4.9].

Theorem 3.7. *The $\mathbb{Q}$-space $\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is a Lie algebra under the Lie bracket $[f, g] = f * g - g * f$ for $f, g \in \mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$.*

Proof. The proof is the same as the one used in [10, Theorem 4.9]. □

3.4 The algebra $\mathrm{CF}^{\mathbf{KS}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$

Lemma 3.8. *Let $\mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_2$ be two constructible subsets of $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$. For any $Y \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$, if $1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}([Y]) \neq 0$, then there exist $X, Z \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $[X] \in \mathcal{O}_1$, $[Z] \in \mathcal{O}_2$ and $1_{[X]} * 1_{[Z]}([Y]) \neq 0$.*

Proof. Let Q_c and $\Lambda^Y_{\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_2}$ be as in Section 3.3. Let

$$\pi_2 : \bigcup_{c \in \Lambda^Y_{\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_2}} Q_c \to (\pi_{l*} \times \pi_{r*})\Big(\bigcup_{c \in \Lambda^Y_{\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_2}} Q_c \Big)$$

be a map which maps $[X \xrightarrow{i} Y \xrightarrow{d} Z]$ to $([X], [Z])$ and

$$m_m = m_{\pi_m}|_{\bigcup_c Q_c}.$$

It is easy to see that m_m is a constructible function over $\bigcup_{c \in \Lambda^Y_{\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_2}} Q_c$.

Because $\pi_l \times \pi_r$ is a 1-morphism, π_2 is a pseudomorphism by [9, Proposition 4.6]. Thus $\pi_2(\bigcup_{c \in \Lambda^Y_{\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_2}} Q_c)$ is constructible and the naïve pushforward $(\pi_2)^{\mathrm{na}}_!(m_m)$ of m_m to $\pi_2(\bigcup_{c \in \Lambda^Y_{\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_2}} Q_c)$ exists. Note that $(\pi_2)^{\mathrm{na}}_!(m_m)$ is a constructible function on $\pi_2(\bigcup_{c \in \Lambda^Y_{\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_2}} Q_c)$. In fact

$$(\pi_2)^{\mathrm{na}}_!(m_m)([X], [Z]) = 1_{[X]} * 1_{[Z]}([Y])$$

for all $([X], [Z]) \in \pi_2(\bigcup_{c \in \Lambda^Y_{\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_2}} Q_c)$. Therefore

$$\big\{ 1_{[X]} * 1_{[Z]}([Y]) \mid ([X], [Z]) \in \pi_2\big(\bigcup_{c \in \Lambda^Y_{\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_2}} Q_c \big) \big\}$$

is a finite set.

Let $\{([X_1],[Z_1]),\dots,([X_n],[Z_n])\}$ be a complete set of representatives for $([X],[Z]) \in \pi_2(\bigcup_{c\in\Lambda^Y_{\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_2}} Q_c)$ such that

$$1_{[X_i]} * 1_{[Z_i]}([Y]) \neq 1_{[X_j]} * 1_{[Z_j]}([Y])$$

for $i \neq j$. Set

$$\mathcal{P}^{X_k,Z_k} = \Big\{([A],[B]) \in \pi_2\Big(\bigcup_{c\in\Lambda^Y_{\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_2}} Q_c\Big) \mid 1_{[A]} * 1_{[B]}([Y]) = 1_{[X_k]} * 1_{[Z_k]}([Y])\Big\}$$

for all $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then $\mathcal{P}^{X_k,Z_k}$ are constructible sets for all $1 \leq k \leq n$ since

$$\mathcal{P}^{X_k,Z_k} = \big((\pi_2)^{\mathrm{na}}_!(m_m)\big)^{-1}(c_k),$$

where $c_k = (\pi_2)^{\mathrm{na}}_!(m_m)([X_k],[Z_k])$. Let $\pi = \pi_2 \circ \pi_1$ which maps $\langle X \xrightarrow{i} Y \xrightarrow{d} Z\rangle$ to $([X],[Z])$. For each $([X],[Z]) \in \pi_2(\bigcup_{c\in\Lambda^Y_{\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_2}} Q_c)$,

$$\chi^{\mathrm{na}}(\pi^{-1}([X],[Z])) = \chi^{\mathrm{na}}(V([X_k],[Z_k];Y))$$

for some k. According to Lemma 2.5, we have

$$1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}([Y]) = \chi^{\mathrm{na}}(V(\mathcal{O}_1,\mathcal{O}_2;Y)) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \chi^{\mathrm{na}}(V([X_k],[Z_k];Y)) \cdot \chi^{\mathrm{na}}(\mathcal{P}^{X_k,Z_k})$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} (1_{[X_k]} * 1_{[Z_k]})([Y]) \cdot \chi^{\mathrm{na}}(\mathcal{P}^{X_k,Z_k}).$$

There exists $([X_k],[Z_k])$ for some $k \in \{1,\dots,n\}$ such that $1_{[X_k]} * 1_{[Z_k]}([Y]) \neq 0$ since $1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}([Y]) \neq 0$. □

Let $\mathbf{D}_n(\mathbb{K})$ denote the group of invertible diagonal matrices in $\mathbf{GL}(n,\mathbb{K})$.

The following lemma is related to Riedtmann [18, Lemma 2.2].

Lemma 3.9. *Let $X,Y,Z \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ and $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$ be a conflation in $\mathcal{A}$. If $m_{\pi_m}([X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z]) \neq 0$, then $\gamma(Y) \leq \gamma(X) + \gamma(Z)$. In particular, $\gamma(Y) = \gamma(X) + \gamma(Z)$ if and only if $Y \cong X \oplus Z$.*

Proof. Recall that $m_{\pi_m}([X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z]) = \chi(\mathrm{Aut}\, Y/\mathrm{Im}(p_1))$.

If $\mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{Aut}(Y) > \mathrm{rk}\ \mathrm{Im}(p_1)$, then the fibre of the action of a maximal torus of $\mathrm{Aut}(Y)$ on $\mathrm{Aut}\, Y/\mathrm{Im}(p_1)$ is $(\mathbb{K}^*)^k$ for some $k \geq 1$, it forces $\chi(\mathrm{Aut}\, Y/\mathrm{Im}(p_1)) = 0$. Hence we have $\mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{Aut}(Y) = \mathrm{rk}\ \mathrm{Im}(p_1) \leq \mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{Aut}(X) + \mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{Aut}(Z)$.

We prove the second assertion by induction. First of all, suppose that $X \ncong 0$ and $Z \ncong 0$. If $\mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{Aut}(Y) = 2$ and $Y = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$, then $\mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{Aut}(X) = \mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{Aut}(Z) = 1$ since X and Z are not isomorphic to 0. For $t \in \mathbb{K}^* \setminus \{1\}$, $\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Aut}(Y)$ and it is an element of a maximal torus $\mathbf{D}_2(\mathbb{K})$ of $\mathrm{Aut}(Y)$. A maximal torus of

$\mathrm{Im}(p_1)$ is also a maximal torus of $\mathrm{Aut}(Y)$ since $\mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{Aut}(Y) = \mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{Im}(p_1)$. Because two maximal tori of a connected linear algebraic group are conjugate, there exists $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(Y)$ such that $\alpha \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^2 \end{pmatrix} \alpha^{-1}$ lies in a maximal torus of $\mathrm{Im}(p_1)$. Hence there exist $a \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ and $b \in \mathrm{Aut}(Z)$ satisfying $(a, \alpha \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^2 \end{pmatrix} \alpha^{-1}, b) \in \mathrm{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)$, namely

$$(a, \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^2 \end{pmatrix}, b) \in \mathrm{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{\alpha^{-1}f} Y \xrightarrow{g\alpha} Z).$$

Let $f' = \alpha^{-1}f$ and $g' = g\alpha$. Observe $(t, \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix}, t) \in \mathrm{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{f'} Y \xrightarrow{g'} Z)$. Hence $f'(a - t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t^2 - t \end{pmatrix} f'$. Let $s = \frac{1}{t^2 - t}(a - t) \in \mathrm{End}(X)$ $(t \neq 0, 1)$. Then $f's = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} f'$. Because f' is an inflation and

$$f's^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} f's = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} f' = f's,$$

$s^2 = s$. The category $\mathcal{A}$ is idempotent completion, consequently s has a kernel and an image such that $X = \mathrm{Ker}s \oplus \mathrm{Im}s$. But X is indecomposable, without loss of generality we can assume $X = \mathrm{Ker}s$. Then $s = 0$. Let $f' = \binom{f_1}{f_2}$ and $g' = (g_1, g_2)$. It follows that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = f's = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have $f_2 = 0$ and $f' = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. The morphism $Y_1 \oplus Y_2 \xrightarrow{(0,1)} Y_2$ is a deflation by [2, Lemma 2.7]. Because $(0, 1)\binom{f_1}{0} = 0$, there exits $h \in \mathrm{Hom}(Z, Y_1)$ such that $(0, 1) = h(g_1, g_2)$. We have $hg_1 = 0$ and $hg_2 = 1_{Y_2}$. Observe $g_2h \in \mathrm{End}(Z)$ and $(g_2h)(g_2h) = g_2h$, so g_2h has a kernel $k : K \to Z$ and an image $i : I \to Z$. Moreover $Z \cong K \oplus I$. It follows that $Z \cong K$ or $Z \cong I$ since Z is indecomposable. If $Z \cong K$ then $g_2h = 0$. But $hg_2h = h$, $K = 0$. Thus h is an isomorphism and $g_1 = 0$. We have $Z \cong Y_2$. Similarly $X \cong Y_1$. Hence $X \oplus Z \cong Y_1 \oplus Y_2$.

Assume that the assertion is true for $\mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{Aut}(Y) = n < N$. When $n = N$, we can assume $\mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{Aut}(X) = n_1$ where $0 < n_1 < N$, then $\mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{Aut}(Z) = N - n_1 = n_2$. Let $Y = Y' \oplus Y_N$ and $Y' = Y_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus Y_{N-1}$, where Y_i are indecomposable. Observe that $\begin{pmatrix} tI_{N-1} & 0 \\ 0 & t^2 \end{pmatrix}$ lies in a maximal torus of $\mathrm{Aut}(Y)$ for $t \in \mathbb{K}^* \setminus \{1\}$. There exists $(a, c, b) \in \mathrm{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)$ such that c and $\begin{pmatrix} tI_{N-1} & 0 \\ 0 & t^2 \end{pmatrix}$ are conjugate in $\mathrm{Aut}(Y)$. For simplicity we assume $c = \begin{pmatrix} tI_{N-1} & 0 \\ 0 & t^2 \end{pmatrix}$. So we

have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y' \oplus Y_N & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \\ {\scriptstyle a}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle c}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle b} \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y' \oplus Y_N & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \end{array}$$

where $f = (f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_N)^t$ and $g = (g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_N)$.

There is another commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{(f^*, f_N)^t} & Y' \oplus Y_N & \xrightarrow{(g^*, g_N)} & Z \\ {\scriptstyle tI_{n_1}}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle tI_N}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle tI_{n_2}} \\ X & \xrightarrow{(f^*, f_N)^t} & Y' \oplus Y_N & \xrightarrow{(g^*, g_N)} & Z \end{array}$$

where $f^* = (f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_{N-1})^T$ and $g^* = (g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_{N-1})$. Then $f = (f^*, f_N)^t$, $g = (g^*, g_N)$ and $f(a - tI_{n_1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0I_{N-1} & 0 \\ 0 & t^2 - t \end{pmatrix} f$. Let

$$s_N = \frac{1}{t^2 - t}(a - tI_{n_1}).$$

Then $fs_N = \mathrm{diag}\{0, \ldots, 0, 1\}f$. It follows $f^* s_N = 0$, $f_N s_N = f_N$ and $g_N f_N = g \begin{pmatrix} 0I_{N-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} f = gfs_N = 0$. Moreover s_N is an idempotent, we know that $X = \mathrm{Ker} s_N \oplus \mathrm{Im} s_N$. If $f_N \neq 0$ then $\mathrm{Im} s_N$ is not isomorphic to 0. Similarly we can define s_1, s_2, ..., $s_{N-1} \in \mathrm{End}(X)$ with the property that $fs_i = \mathrm{diag}\{0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0\}f = (0, \ldots, 0, f_i, 0, \ldots, 0)^t$. Hence s_i is idempotent and if $f_i \neq 0$ then $\mathrm{Im} s_i$ is not isomorphic to 0 for each i. Note that $s_1 + s_2 + \ldots + s_N = 1_X \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$, it follows

$$X = \mathrm{Im} s_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathrm{Im} s_N.$$

Hence $f_i = 0$ for some i since $\mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{Aut}(X) < N$. Without loss of generality, we assume $f_N = 0$. Let $(0, \ldots, 0, 1) : Y_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus Y_N \to Y_N$, then

$$(0, \ldots, 0, 1)(f_1, \ldots, f_N)^t = 0$$

Hence there exists $h \in \mathrm{Hom}(Z, Y_N)$ such that $h(g_1, \ldots, g_N) = (0, \ldots, 0, 1)$, namely $hg_1 = 0, \ldots, hg_{N-1} = 0$ and $hg_N = 1$. Therefore Y_N is isomorphic to a direct summand of Z. Assume that $Z = Z' \oplus Y_N$ where $\gamma(Z') = \gamma(Z) - 1$. The morphism $(1, 0) : Z' \oplus Y_N \to Z'$ is a deflation, so $g' = g^*(1, 0) : Y' \to Z'$ is a deflation by Definition A.1. Obviously, $(f_1, \ldots, f_{N-1})^t : X \to Y_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus Y_{N-1}$ is a kernel of g'. Thus

$$X \xrightarrow{(f_1, \ldots, f_{N-1})^t} Y_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus Y_{N-1} \xrightarrow{g'} Z'$$

is a conflation. By hypothesis, $Y_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus Y_{N-1} \cong X \oplus Z'$. Hence $Y = Y_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus Y_N \cong X \oplus Z$. The proof is completed. □

Remark 3.10. If $1_{[X]} * 1_{[Z]}([Y]) \neq 0$, then $\gamma(Y) \leq \gamma(X) + \gamma(Z)$, where the equality holds if and only if $Y \cong X \oplus Z$.

Lemma 3.11. *Let $X, Y, Z \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ and $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$ be a conflation in $\mathcal{A}$. If $m_{\pi_m}([X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z]) \neq 0$, $\gamma(Y) < \gamma(X) + \gamma(Z)$ and $Y = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$, then there exist two conflations $X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} Y_1 \xrightarrow{g_1} Z_1$ and $X_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} Y_2 \xrightarrow{g_2} Z_2$ in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $X \cong X_1 \oplus X_2$, $Z \cong Z_1 \oplus Z_2$ and $f = diag\{f_1, f_2\}, g = diag\{g_1, g_2\}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{Aut}(X) = n_1$, $\mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{Aut}(X) = N$ and $\mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{Aut}(Z) = n_2$. Then $N < n_1 + n_2$. For simplicity, we use the notation as above. Let $Y = Y_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus Y_N$, $f = (f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_N)^t$, $g = (g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_N)$ and the isomorphisms $(a, c, b), (tI_{n_1}, tI_N, tI_{n_2}) \in \mathrm{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)$, where $c = \begin{pmatrix} tI_{N-1} & 0 \\ 0 & t^2 \end{pmatrix}$. Recall that

$$s_N = \frac{1}{t^2 - t}(a - tI_{n_1}) \in \mathrm{End}(X)$$

is an idempotent such that

$$fs_N = (0, \ldots, 0, f_N)^t$$

and $X = \mathrm{Ker}s_N \oplus \mathrm{Im}s_N$. Similarly, there exists an idempotent

$$r_N = \frac{1}{t - t^2}(b - tI_{n_2})$$

in $\mathrm{End}(Z)$ such that $r_N g = (0, \ldots, 0, g_N)$ and $Z = \mathrm{Ker}r_N \oplus \mathrm{Im}r_N$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $f_N \neq 0$ and $g_N \neq 0$. Because $f_N s_N = f_N$ and $r_N g_N = g_N$,

$$g_N f_N = r_N g_N f_N s_N = r_N (g_1, \ldots, g_N)(f_1, \ldots, f_N)^t s_N = 0.$$

It is clear that $i : \mathrm{Ker}s_N \hookrightarrow X$ is a kernel of $f_N : X \to Y_N$. There exists a morphism $f'_N : \mathrm{Im}s_N \to Y_N$ which is an image of f_N since $X = \mathrm{Ker}s_N \oplus \mathrm{Im}s_N$. Similarly we can find a morphism $g'_N : Y_N \to \mathrm{Im}r_N$ which is a coimage of g_N such that $g_N = jg'_N$, where $j : \mathrm{Im}(r_N) \hookrightarrow Z$ is an image of g_N. It is easy to check that f'_N is an inflation, g'_N a deflation and $g'_N f'_N = 0$. Let $h : Y_N \to A$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $hf'_N = 0$. The morphism

$$(0, \ldots, 0, h) : Y_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus Y_N \to A$$

satisfies $(0, \ldots, 0, h)f = 0$. There exists $k \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(Z, A)$ such that

$$(0, \ldots, 0, h) = kg$$

since g is a cokernel of f. It follows that $h = kg_N = kjg'_N$. Hence g'_N is a cokernel of f'_N. Therefore $\mathrm{Im}s_N \xrightarrow{f'_N} Y_N \xrightarrow{g'_N} \mathrm{Im}r_N$ is a conflation. By induction, every indecomposable direct summand of Y is extended by the direct summands of X and Z. The proof is finished. □

Lemma 3.12. *Let $\mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_2$ be two indecomposable constructible subsets of $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$. Let $A \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\gamma(A) \geq 2$. If $[A] \notin \mathcal{O}_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}_2$, then $1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}([A]) = 0$.*

Proof. If $1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}([A]) \neq 0$, then there exist $X, Y \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $[X] \in \mathcal{O}_1$, $[Y] \in \mathcal{O}_2$ and $1_{[X]} * 1_{[Y]}(A) \neq 0$ by Lemma 3.8. It follows that $\gamma(A) = 2$ and $A \cong X \oplus Y$ by Lemma 3.9 (also see [10, Theorem 4.9]). This leads to a contradiction. □

Corollary 3.13. *Let $\mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_2$ be indecomposable subsets of $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$. If $\mathcal{O}_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_2 = \emptyset$, then*

$$1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2} = 1_{\mathcal{O}_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}_2} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i 1_{\mathcal{P}_i}$$

where $\mathcal{P}_i$ are indecomposable constructible subsets and $a_i = 1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}([X])$ for $[X] \in \mathcal{P}_i$.

Proof. Let $[M] \in \mathcal{O}_1$ and $[N] \in \mathcal{O}_2$. Then M is not isomorphic to N since $\mathcal{O}_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_2 = \emptyset$. Using the fact that $m_{\pi_m}([M \xrightarrow{(1,0)^t} M \oplus N \xrightarrow{(0,1)} N]) = 1$, we obtain

$$1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}([M \oplus N])$$

$$= m_{\pi_m}([M \xrightarrow{(1,0)^t} M \oplus N \xrightarrow{(0,1)} N]) \cdot \chi^{\mathrm{na}}([M \xrightarrow{(1,0)^t} M \oplus N \xrightarrow{(0,1)} N]) = 1.$$

By Lemma 3.12, we know that if $1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}([X]) \neq 0$ and $[X] \notin \mathcal{O}_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}_2$, then X is an indecomposable object. Note that

$$\big(1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K}) \setminus \mathcal{O}_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}_2)\big) \setminus \{0\} = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{P}_i = (1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2})^{-1}(a_i) \setminus \mathcal{O}_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}_2$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. We complete the proof. □

Using Lemma 3.9 and Lemma 3.11, it is easy to see the following corollary:

Corollary 3.14. *Let $\mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_2$ be two constructible sets. There exist finitely many constructible sets $\mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{Q}_n$ such that*

$$1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i 1_{\mathcal{Q}_i}$$

where $\gamma(\mathcal{Q}_i) \leq \gamma(\mathcal{O}_1) + \gamma(\mathcal{O}_2)$ and $a_i = (1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2})([X])$ for any $[X] \in \mathcal{Q}_i$.

For indecomposable constructible sets $\mathcal{O}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{O}_k$ and $X \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$, $1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2} * \ldots * 1_{\mathcal{O}_k}([X]) \neq 0$ implies that $\gamma(X) \leq k$. In particular, $\gamma(X) = k$ means $X = X_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus X_k$ with $[X_i] \in \mathcal{O}_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Let $X_1, \ldots, X_m \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ and there be r isomorphic classes, we can assume that $X_1, \ldots, X_{m_1}$ are isomorphic, $X_{m_1+1}, \ldots, X_{m_2}$ are isomorphic, ...,

and $X_{m_{r-1}+1}, \ldots, X_{m_r}$ are isomorphic, where $m_1 + \ldots + m_r = m$. By [10], we have

$$\operatorname{Aut}(X_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus X_m)/\operatorname{Aut}(X_1) \times \ldots \times \operatorname{Aut}(X_m) \cong \mathbb{K}^l \times \prod_{i=1}^{r} (\mathrm{GL}(m_i, \mathbb{K})/(\mathbb{K}^*)^{m_i}), \tag{5}$$

$$\chi(\operatorname{Aut}(X_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus X_m)/\operatorname{Aut}(X_1) \times \ldots \times \operatorname{Aut}(X_m)) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} m_i!. \tag{6}$$

Proposition 3.15. *Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an indecomposable constructible set. Then*

$$1_{\mathcal{O}}^{*k} = k! 1_{k\mathcal{O}} + \sum_{i=1}^{t} m_i 1_{\mathcal{P}_i}$$

where $\gamma(\mathcal{P}_i) < k$ for each i and $m_i = 1_{\mathcal{O}}^{*k}([X])$ for $[X] \in \mathcal{P}_i$.

Proof. We prove the proposition by induction. When $k = 1$, it is easy to see that the formula is true. If $k = 2$, then

$$1_{\mathcal{O}}^{*2}([X \oplus X]) = 1_{\mathcal{O}}([X]) \cdot 1_{\mathcal{O}}([X]) \cdot \chi(\operatorname{Aut}(X \oplus X)/\operatorname{Aut}(X) \times \operatorname{Aut}(X)) = 2$$

for $[X] \in \mathcal{O}$ and

$$1_{\mathcal{O}}^{*2}([X \oplus Y]) =$$

$$\big(1_{\mathcal{O}}([X]) \cdot 1_{\mathcal{O}}([Y]) + 1_{\mathcal{O}}([Y]) \cdot 1_{\mathcal{O}}([X])\big) \cdot \chi\big(\operatorname{Aut}(X \oplus Y)/\operatorname{Aut}(X) \times \operatorname{Aut}(Y)\big) = 2,$$

where $[X], [Y] \in \mathcal{O}$ and $X \ncong Y$. If $[X] \notin \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}$ and $\gamma(X) \geq 2$ then $1_{\mathcal{O}}^{*2}([X]) = 0$ by Lemma 3.12. Hence $1_{\mathcal{O}}^{*2} = 2 \cdot 1_{\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}} + \sum_i m_i \mathcal{P}_i$ where $\mathcal{P}_i$ are indecomposable constructible sets by Corollary 3.14.

Now we suppose that the formula is true for $k \leq n$. When $k = n + 1$, we have

$$1_{\mathcal{O}}^{*(n+1)} = 1_{\mathcal{O}}^{*(n)} * 1_{\mathcal{O}} = (n! 1_{n\mathcal{O}} + \sum c_{\mathcal{P}'} 1_{\mathcal{P}'}) * 1_{\mathcal{O}},$$

where $\mathcal{P}'$ are constructible sets with $\gamma(\mathcal{P}') < n$. If the formula is true for $k = n + 1$, then

$$n! 1_{n\mathcal{O}} * 1_{\mathcal{O}} = (n+1)! 1_{(n+1)\mathcal{O}} + \sum c_{\mathcal{Q}} 1_{\mathcal{Q}},$$

where $\mathcal{Q}$ are constructible sets with $\gamma(\mathcal{Q}) < n+1$. Hence it suffices to show that the initial term of $1_{n\mathcal{O}} * 1_{\mathcal{O}}$ is $(n+1)1_{(n+1)\mathcal{O}}$, namely $(1_{n\mathcal{O}} * 1_{\mathcal{O}})([X]) = n + 1$ for all $[X] \in (n+1)\mathcal{O}$.

Assume that $X = m_1 X_1 \oplus m_2 X_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus m_r X_r$, where $X_1, \ldots, X_r \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ which are not isomorphic to each other, $[X_i] \in \mathcal{O}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, $m_1, \ldots, m_r$ are positive integers and $m_1 + m_2 + \ldots + m_r = n + 1$.

$$(1_{n\mathcal{O}} * 1_{\mathcal{O}})([X]) = (1_{[(m_1-1)X_1 \oplus m_2 X_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus m_r X_r]} * 1_{[X_1]})([X])$$

$$+(1_{[m_1X_1\oplus(m_2-1)X_2\oplus\ldots\oplus m_rX_r]} * 1_{[X_2]})([X])$$
$$+\ldots$$
$$+(1_{[m_1X_1\oplus\ldots\oplus m_{r-1}X_{r-1}\oplus(m_r-1)X_r]} * 1_{[X_r]})([X])$$

Using Equation (6), it follows that

$$1_{[X_1]}^{*(m_1-1)} * 1_{[X_2]}^{*m_2} * \ldots * 1_{[X_r]}^{*m_r} = (m_1-1)!m_2!\ldots m_r! 1_{[(m_1-1)X_1\oplus m_2X_2\oplus\ldots\oplus m_rX_r]} + \ldots,$$

$$1_{[X_1]}^{*(m_1-1)} * 1_{[X_2]}^{*m_2} * \ldots * 1_{[X_r]}^{*m_r} * 1_{[X_1]} = (\prod_{i=1}^{r} m_i!) 1_{[m_1X_1\oplus m_2X_2\oplus\ldots\oplus m_rX_r]} + \ldots$$

Compare the initial monomials of the two equations, it follows that

$$1_{[(m_1-1)X_1\oplus m_2X_2\oplus\ldots\oplus m_rX_r]} * 1_{[X_1]} = m_1 1_{[m_1X_1\oplus m_2X_2\oplus\ldots\oplus m_rX_r]} + \ldots$$

Thus $1_{[(m_1-1)X_1\oplus m_2X_2\oplus\ldots\oplus m_rX_r]} * 1_{[X_1]}([X]) = m_1$.

Similarly, we have $1_{[m_1X_1\oplus\ldots\oplus(m_i-1)X_i\oplus\ldots\oplus m_rX_r]} * 1_{[X_i]}([X]) = m_i$ for $i = 2,\ldots,r$. Hence $(1_{n\mathcal{O}} * 1_{\mathcal{O}})([X]) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} m_i = n+1$ which completes the proof. □

By induction, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.16. *Let $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{O}_k$ be indecomposable constructible sets which are pairwise disjoint. Then we have the following equations*

$$1_{\mathcal{O}_1}^{*n_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}^{*n_2} \ldots * 1_{\mathcal{O}_k}^{*n_k} = n_1!n_2!\ldots n_k! 1_{n_1\mathcal{O}_1\oplus\ldots\oplus n_k\mathcal{O}_k} + \ldots,$$

$$1_{m_1\mathcal{O}_1\oplus\ldots\oplus m_k\mathcal{O}_k} * 1_{n_1\mathcal{O}_1\oplus\ldots\oplus n_k\mathcal{O}_k} = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{(m_i+n_i)!}{m_i!n_i!} 1_{(m_1+n_1)\mathcal{O}_1\oplus\ldots\oplus(m_k+n_k)\mathcal{O}_k} + \ldots,$$

where k is a positive integer and $m_1,\ldots,m_k,n_1,\ldots,n_k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $\mathrm{Ind}(\alpha)$ be the subset of $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{K})$ such that X are indecomposable for all $[X] \in \mathrm{Ind}(\alpha)$.

Lemma 3.17. *For each $\alpha \in K'(\mathcal{A})$, $\mathrm{Ind}(\alpha)$ is a locally constructible set.*

Proof. Assume $\alpha,\beta,\gamma \in K'(\mathcal{A}) \setminus \{0\}$. The map

$$f : \coprod_{\substack{\beta,\gamma;\\ \beta+\gamma=\alpha}} \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\beta}(\mathbb{K}) \times \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\gamma}(\mathbb{K}) \to \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{K})$$

is defined by $([B],[C]) \mapsto [B \oplus C]$. It is clear that f is a pseudomorphism. Every $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\beta}(\mathbb{K}) \times \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\gamma}(\mathbb{K})$ is a locally constructible set. For any constructible set $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K}) \times \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$, there are finitely many $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\beta}(\mathbb{K}) \times \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\gamma}(\mathbb{K})$ such that $\mathcal{C} \cap (\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\beta}(\mathbb{K}) \times \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\gamma}(\mathbb{K})) \neq \emptyset$. Hence $\amalg_{\beta,\gamma;\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\beta}(\mathbb{K}) \times \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\gamma}(\mathbb{K})$ is locally constructible. Then $\mathrm{Im}f$ is a locally constructible set. It follows that $\mathrm{Ind}(\alpha) = \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{K}) \setminus \mathrm{Im}f$ is locally constructible. □

The following proposition is due to [3, Proposition 11].

Proposition 3.18. *Let $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2$ be two constructible sets of Krull-Schmidt. It follows that*

$$1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2} = \sum_{i=1}^{c} a_i 1_{\mathcal{Q}_i}$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{N}^+$, where $a_i = 1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}([X])$ for each $[X] \in \mathcal{Q}_i$ and $\mathcal{Q}_i$ are constructible sets of stratified Krull-Schmidt such that $\gamma(\mathcal{Q}_i) \leq \gamma(\mathcal{O}_1) + \gamma(\mathcal{O}_2)$.

Proof. Because $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2$ are constructible sets, the equation holds for some constructible sets $\mathcal{Q}_i$ with $\gamma(\mathcal{Q}_i) \leq \gamma(\mathcal{O}_1) + \gamma(\mathcal{O}_2)$ by Corollary 3.14.

For every $[Y_i] \in \mathcal{Q}_i$, $1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}([Y_i]) \neq 0$. By Lemma 3.8, there exist $X_i, Z_i \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $[X_i] \in \mathcal{O}_1$, $[Z_i] \in \mathcal{O}_2$ and $1_{[X_i]} * 1_{[Z_i]}([Y_i]) \neq 0$ since $1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}([Y_i]) \neq 0$. Thanks to Lemma 3.9, we have that $\gamma(Y_i) \leq \gamma(X_i) + \gamma(Z_i)$. According to Lemma 3.11, all indecomposable direct summands of Y_i are extended by the direct summands of X_i and Z_i since $1_{[X_i]} * 1_{[Z_i]}([Y_i]) \neq 0$.

By the discussion in Section 3.1, we can suppose that $\mathcal{O}_1 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{t} a_i \mathcal{C}_i$ and $\mathcal{O}_2 = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t} b_j \mathcal{C}_j$, where $a_i, b_j \in \{0, 1\}$ for all i, j and $\mathcal{C}_i$ are indecomposable constructible sets such that $\mathcal{C}_i \cap \mathcal{C}_j = \emptyset$ or $\mathcal{C}_i = \mathcal{C}_j$ for all $i \neq j$. Let $1 \leq r \leq t$, the set

$$\{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_r \mid \emptyset \neq A_i \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\} \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, r\}$$

is called an r-partition of $\{1, 2, \ldots, t\}$ if $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \ldots \cup A_r = \{1, 2, \ldots, t\}$ and $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$. Obviously, the cardinal number of all partitions of $\{1, 2, \ldots, t\}$ is finite. Let $\{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_r\}$, $\{B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_r\}$ be two r-partitions of $\{1, 2, \ldots, t\}$ and $c_k \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, r$. Set $\mathcal{O}_{A_k} = \bigoplus_{i \in A_k} a_i \mathcal{C}_i$ and $\mathcal{O}_{B_k} = \bigoplus_{j \in B_k} b_j \mathcal{C}_j$ for $1 \leq k \leq r$. Then we have

$$\mathcal{R}_{A_k, B_k, c_k} = \{[X] \in \mathcal{O}_{A_k} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{B_k} \mid 1_{\mathcal{O}_{A_k}} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_{B_k}}([X]) = c_k\},$$

$$\mathcal{I}_{A_k, B_k, c_k} = \{[X] \mid X \text{ indecomposable}, 1_{\mathcal{O}_{A_k}} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_{B_k}}([X]) = c_k\}.$$

This means that for each $[X] \in \mathcal{R}_{A_k, B_k, c_k}$, there exist $[A] \in \mathcal{O}_{A_k}$ and $[B] \in \mathcal{O}_{B_k}$ such that $X \cong A \oplus B$. For each $[Y] \in \mathcal{I}_{A_k, B_k, c_k}$, there exist $[C] \in \mathcal{O}_{A_k}$ and $[D] \in \mathcal{O}_{B_k}$ such that $C \to Y \to D$ is a non-split conflation in $\mathcal{A}$. Note that

$$\mathcal{R}_{A_k, B_k, c_k} = ((1_{\mathcal{O}_{A_k}} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_{B_k}})^{-1}(c_k)) \cap (\mathcal{O}_{A_k} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{B_k}).$$

By Corollary 3.16, $\mathcal{R}_{A_k, B_k, c_k} = \emptyset$ or $\mathcal{O}_{A_k} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{B_k}$. Hence $\mathcal{R}_{A_k, B_k, c_k}$ is a constructible set of Krull-Schmidt. There exist $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s \in K'(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\mathcal{I}_{A_k, B_k, c_k} = (\amalg_{i=1}^{s} \mathrm{Ind}(\alpha_i)) \cap ((1_{\mathcal{O}_{A_k}} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_{B_k}})^{-1}(c_k))$. By Lemma 3.17, $\mathcal{I}_{A_k, B_k, c_k}$ is an indecomposable constructible set.

Finally, $1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear combination of finitely many $1_{\oplus_{k=1}^{r} \mathcal{O}_{A_k, B_k, c_k}}$, where $\mathcal{O}_{A_k, B_k, c_k}$ run through $\mathcal{R}_{A_k, B_k, c_k}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{A_k, B_k, c_k}$ for all r-partitions and $r = 1, 2, \ldots, t$. We finish the proof. □

Thus we summarize what we have proved as the following theorem which is due to [3, Theorem 12].

Theorem 3.19. *The $\mathbb{Q}$-space $\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is an associative $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra with convolution multiplication $*$ and identity $1_{[0]}$.*

3.5 The universal enveloping algebra of $\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$

Let $U(\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}))$ denote the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. The multiplication in $U(\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}))$ will be written as $(x, y) \mapsto xy$. There is a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra homomorphism

$$\Phi : U(\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})) \to \mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$$

defined by $\Phi(1) = 1_{[0]}$ and $\Phi(f_1 f_2 \dots f_n) = f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_n$, where $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$ belong to $\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$.

The following theorem is related to [3, Theorem 15].

Theorem 3.20. *$\Phi : U(\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})) \to \mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. For simplicity of presentation, let

$$U = U(\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})) \text{ and } \mathrm{CF} = \mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}).$$

Suppose that $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, \dots, \mathcal{O}_k$ are indecomposable constructible subsets of $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$ which are pairwise disjoint. It follows that $1_{\mathcal{O}_1}, 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}, \dots, 1_{\mathcal{O}_k}$ are linearly independent in $\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$.

Let $U_{\mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_k}$ denote the subspace of U which is spanned by all $1_{\mathcal{O}_1}^{n_1} 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}^{n_2} \dots 1_{\mathcal{O}_k}^{n_k}$ for $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Define $\mathrm{CF}_{\mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_n}$ to be the subalgebra of CF which is generated by the elements $1_{n_1 \mathcal{O}_1 \oplus n_2 \mathcal{O}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus n_k \mathcal{O}_k}$ of CF, where $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

The homomorphism Φ induces a homomorphism

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_k} : U_{\mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_k} \to \mathrm{CF}_{\mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_k}$$

which maps $1_{\mathcal{O}_1}^{n_1} 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}^{n_2} \dots 1_{\mathcal{O}_k}^{n_k}$ to $1_{\mathcal{O}_1}^{*n_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}^{*n_2} * \dots * 1_{\mathcal{O}_k}^{*n_k}$.

First of all, we want to show that $\Phi_{\mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_k}$ is injective.

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $U^{(m)}_{\mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_k}$ be the subspace of U which is spanned by

$$\Big\{ 1_{\mathcal{O}_1}^{n_1} 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}^{n_2} \dots 1_{\mathcal{O}_k}^{n_k} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i \leq m, n_i \geq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k \Big\}$$

Using the PBW Theorem, we obtain that

$$\Big\{ 1_{\mathcal{O}_1}^{n_1} 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}^{n_2} \dots 1_{\mathcal{O}_k}^{n_k} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i = m, n_i \geq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k \Big\}$$

is a basis of the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space $U^{(m)}_{\mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_k} / U^{(m-1)}_{\mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_k}$ for $m \geq 1$.

Similarly, we define $\mathrm{CF}^{(m)}_{\mathcal{O}_1\ldots\mathcal{O}_k}$ to be a subspace of $\mathrm{CF}_{\mathcal{O}_1\ldots\mathcal{O}_k}$ such that each $f \in \mathrm{CF}^{(m)}_{\mathcal{O}_1\ldots\mathcal{O}_k}$ is of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{l} c_i 1_{\mathcal{C}_i}$, where $l \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $c_i \in \mathbb{Q}$, $1_{\mathcal{C}_i} \in \mathrm{CF}_{\mathcal{O}_1\ldots\mathcal{O}_k}$ and $\mathcal{C}_i$ are constructible sets of Krull-Schmidt such that $\gamma(\mathcal{C}_i) \leq m$.

In $\mathrm{CF}^{(m)} / \mathrm{CF}^{(m-1)}$, the set

$$\{1_{n_1\mathcal{O}_1\oplus n_2\mathcal{O}_2\oplus\ldots\oplus n_k\mathcal{O}_k} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i = m, n_i \geq 0 \text{ for } i = 1,\ldots,k\}$$

is linearly independent by the Krull-Schmidt Theorem.

For each $m \geq 1$, $\Phi_{\mathcal{O}_1\ldots\mathcal{O}_k}$ induce a map

$$\Phi^{(m)}_{\mathcal{O}_1\ldots\mathcal{O}_k} : U^{(m)}_{\mathcal{O}_1\ldots\mathcal{O}_k}/U^{(m-1)}_{\mathcal{O}_1\ldots\mathcal{O}_k} \to \mathrm{CF}^{(m)}_{\mathcal{O}_1\ldots\mathcal{O}_k} / \mathrm{CF}^{(m-1)}_{\mathcal{O}_1\ldots\mathcal{O}_k}$$

which maps $1^{n_1}_{\mathcal{O}_1}1^{n_2}_{\mathcal{O}_2}\ldots 1^{n_k}_{\mathcal{O}_k}$ to $n_1!n_2!\ldots n_k!1_{n_1\mathcal{O}_1\oplus n_2\mathcal{O}_2\oplus\ldots\oplus n_k\mathcal{O}_k}$ (also see Corollary 3.16), where $\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i = m$ and $m_i \geq 0$. From this we know that $\Phi^{(m)}_{\mathcal{O}_1\ldots\mathcal{O}_k}$ is injective for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Obviously, both $U_{\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_2\ldots\mathcal{O}_n}$ and $\mathrm{CF}_{\mathcal{O}_1\ldots\mathcal{O}_n}$ are filtered. From the properties of filtered algebra, we know that $\Phi_{\mathcal{O}_1\ldots\mathcal{O}_k}$ is injective. Hence $\Phi : U \to \mathrm{CF}$ is injective.

Finally, we show that Φ is surjective by induction. When $m = 1$, the statement is trivial. Then we assume that every constructible function $f = \sum_{i=1}^{t} a_i 1_{\mathcal{Q}_i}$ lies in $\mathrm{Im}(\Phi)$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_i$ are constructible sets of stratified Krull-Schmidt with $\gamma(\mathcal{Q}_i) < m$.

Let $n_1 + n_2 + \ldots + n_k = m$ and $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then

$$\Phi(1^{n_1}_{\mathcal{O}_1}1^{n_2}_{\mathcal{O}_2}\ldots 1^{n_k}_{\mathcal{O}_k}) = 1^{*n_1}_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1^{*n_2}_{\mathcal{O}_2} * \ldots * 1^{*n_k}_{\mathcal{O}_k}$$

$$= n_1!n_2!\ldots n_n!1_{n_1\mathcal{O}_1\oplus n_2\mathcal{O}_2\oplus\ldots\oplus n_k\mathcal{O}_k} + \sum_{j=1}^{s} b_j 1_{\mathcal{P}_j},$$

where $b_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathcal{P}_j$ are constructible sets of stratified Krull-Schmidt with $\gamma(\mathcal{P}_j) < m$. By the hypothesis, $\sum_{j=1}^{s} b_j 1_{\mathcal{P}_j} \in \mathrm{Im}(\Phi)$. Hence $1_{n_1\mathcal{O}_1\oplus n_2\mathcal{O}_2\oplus\ldots\oplus n_k\mathcal{O}_k}$ lies in $\mathrm{Im}(\Phi)$. The algebra CF is generated by all $1_{n_1\mathcal{O}_1\oplus\ldots\oplus n_k\mathcal{O}_k}$, which proves that Φ is surjective, the proof is finished. □

4 Comultiplication and Green's formula

4.1 Comultiplication

We now turn to define a comultiplication on the algebra $\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$. For $f, g \in \mathrm{CF}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$, $f \otimes g$ is define by $f \otimes g([X],[Y]) = f([X])g([Y])$ for $([X],[Y]) \in (\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})(\mathbb{K}) = \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K}) \times \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$ (see [10, Difinition 4.1]). Let $X \xrightarrow{f}$

$Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$ be a conflation in $\mathcal{A}$. Recall that the map $p_2 : \mathrm{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z) \to \mathrm{Aut}(X) \times \mathrm{Aut}(Z)$ is defined by $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \mapsto (a_1, a_3)$ and $\mathrm{Ker}p_2 = 1$. Therefore the pushforward of $\pi_l \times \pi_r$ is well-defined.

The following definitions are related to [3, Section 6] and [10, Defintion 4.16].

Definition 4.1. From now on, assume that $\pi_m : \mathfrak{Exact}_{\mathcal{A}} \to \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is of finite type. Then we have the following diagram

$$\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}) \xleftarrow{(\pi_l \times \pi_r)_!} \mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathfrak{Exact}_{\mathcal{A}}) \xleftarrow{(\pi_m)^*} \mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}).$$

The comultiplication

$$\Delta : \mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}) \to \mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$$

is defined by $\Delta = (\pi_l \times \pi_r)_! \circ (\pi_m)^*$, where $\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is regarded as a topological completion of $\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}) \otimes \mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$.

The counit $\varepsilon : \mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}) \to \mathbb{Q}$ maps f to $f([0])$.

Note that Δ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear map since $(\pi_l \times \pi_r)_!$ and $(\pi_m)^*$ are $\mathbb{Q}$-linear map.

Definition 4.2. Let $\alpha = [A], \beta = [B] \in \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$ and $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$ be a constructible set of stratified Krull-Schmidt, define

$$h^{\beta\alpha}_{\mathcal{O}} = \Delta(1_{\mathcal{O}})([A], [B]).$$

Let $\mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$ be constructible sets, define

$$g^{\alpha}_{\mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_1} = 1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}(\alpha).$$

Because $\Delta(1_{\mathcal{O}})$ is a constructible function, $\Delta(1_{\mathcal{O}}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} h^{\beta_i\alpha_i}_{\mathcal{O}} 1_{\mathcal{O}_i}$ for some $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\mathcal{O}_i$ are constructible subsets of $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K}) \times \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let X, Y, $Z \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$. If $X \oplus Z$ is not isomorphic to Y, then $\Delta(1_{[Y]})([X], [Z]) = 0$.*

Proof. If $\Delta(1_{[Y]})([X], [Z]) \neq 0$, there exists a conflation $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$ in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $m_{\pi_l \times \pi_r}([X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z]) \neq 0$. Recall that

$$m_{\pi_l \times \pi_r}([X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z]) = \chi\big((\mathrm{Aut}(X) \times \mathrm{Aut}(Z))/\mathrm{Im}p_2\big).$$

If $\mathrm{rk}\ \mathrm{Im}p_2 < \mathrm{rk}\big(\mathrm{Aut}(X) \times \mathrm{Aut}(Z)\big)$, the fibre of the action of a maximal torus of $\mathrm{Aut}(X) \times \mathrm{Aut}(Z)$ on $(\mathrm{Aut}(X) \times \mathrm{Aut}(Z))/\mathrm{Im}p_2$ is $(\mathbb{K}^*)^l$ for $l > 0$. Then $\chi\big((\mathrm{Aut}(X) \times \mathrm{Aut}(Z))/\mathrm{Im}p_2\big) = 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence $\mathrm{rk}\big(\mathrm{Aut}(X) \times \mathrm{Aut}(Z)\big) = \mathrm{rk}\ \mathrm{Im}p_2$.

Assume that $\mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{Aut}(X) = n_1$, $\mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{Aut}(Z) = n_2$ and $\mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{Aut}(Y) = n$ for some positive integers n_1, n_2 and n. Note that $\mathbf{D}_{n_1} \times \mathbf{D}_{n_2}$ is a maximal

torus of $\mathrm{Aut}(X) \times \mathrm{Aut}(Z)$. Because $\mathrm{rk}\big(\mathrm{Aut}(X) \times \mathrm{Aut}(Z)\big) = \mathrm{rk}\ \mathrm{Im}(p_2)$, each maximal torus of $\mathrm{Im}p_2$ is also a maximal torus of $\mathrm{Aut}(X) \times \mathrm{Aut}(Z)$. Therefore every maximal torus of $\mathrm{Im}p_2$ and $\mathbf{D}_{n_1} \times \mathbf{D}_{n_2}$ are conjugate. For simplicity, we can assume that $\mathbf{D}_{n_1} \times \mathbf{D}_{n_2}$ is a maximal torus of $\mathrm{Im}p_2$. For $(t_1 I_{n_1}, t_2 I_{n_2}) \in \mathbf{D}_{n_1} \times \mathbf{D}_{n_2}$, where $t_1 \neq t_2$, there exists $\tau \in \mathrm{Aut}(Y)$ such that $(t_1 I_{n_1}, \tau, t_2 I_{n_2}) \in \mathrm{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)$. Then we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \\ {\scriptstyle t_1 I_{n_1}}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \tau}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle t_2 I_{n_2}} \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \end{array}$$

The morphism $(t_2 I_{n_1}, t_2 I_n, t_2 I_{n_2})$ is also in $\mathrm{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)$. The following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \\ {\scriptstyle t_2 I_{n_1}}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle t_2 I_n}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle t_2 I_{n_2}} \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \end{array}$$

Consequently $g(\tau - t_2 I_n) = 0$. Because f is a kernel of g, there exists $h \in \mathrm{Hom}(Y, X)$ such that $\tau - t_2 I_n = fh$. Then $\tau = fh + t_2 I_n$. We have

$$f(t_1 I_{n_1}) = \tau f = (fh + t_2 I_n))f,$$

it follows that

$$fhf = f(t_1 I_{n_1}) - (t_2 I_n)f = f(t_1 I_{n_1} - t_2 I_{n_1}).$$

Then $hf = (t_1 - t_2) I_{n_1}$ since f is an inflation. Let $f' = \frac{1}{t_1 - t_2} h$, then $f'f = 1_X$. Hence X is isomorphic to a direct summand of Y. The proof is completed. □

For an indecomposable object $X \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$, direct summands of X are only X and 0. Thus $\Delta(1_{[X]}) = 1_{[X]} \otimes 1_{[0]} + 1_{[0]} \otimes 1_{[X]}$. It follows that $\Delta(f) = f \otimes 1_{[0]} + 1_{[0]} \otimes f$ for $f \in \mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$.

By Lemma 4.3, $h_{\mathcal{O}}^{\beta\alpha} = 1$ if $\alpha \oplus \beta \in \mathcal{O}$, and $h_{\mathcal{O}}^{\beta\alpha} = 0$ otherwise. Let $\mathcal{O} = n_1\mathcal{O}_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus n_m\mathcal{O}_m$ be a constructible set of stratified Krull-Schmidt, where $\mathcal{O}_i$ are indecomposable constructible sets for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. By Lemma 4.3, the formula $\Delta(1_{\mathcal{O}}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{\mathcal{O}}^{\beta_i \alpha_i} 1_{\mathcal{O}_i}$ can be written as

$$\Delta(1_{\mathcal{O}}) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m; 0 \leq k_i \leq n_i} 1_{k_1\mathcal{O}_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus k_m\mathcal{O}_m} \otimes 1_{(n_1 - k_1)\mathcal{O}_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus (n_m - k_m)\mathcal{O}_m}.$$

Hence we have the following proposition.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a constructible set of stratified Krull-Schmidt, then $\Delta(1_{\mathcal{O}}) \in \mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}) \otimes \mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$, i.e., the map*

$$\Delta : \mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}) \to \mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}) \otimes \mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}))$$

is well-defined.

4.2 Green's formula on stacks

Recall that

$$\int_{x\in S} f(x) = \sum_{a\in f(S)\setminus\{0\}} a\chi^{\mathrm{na}}(f^{-1}(a)\cap S),$$

where f is a constructible function and S a locally constructible set.

Let $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, \mathcal{O}_\rho, \mathcal{O}_\sigma, \mathcal{O}_\epsilon, \mathcal{O}_\tau, \mathcal{O}_\lambda$ be constructible sets and $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_1, \beta \in \mathcal{O}_2, \rho \in \mathcal{O}_\rho, \sigma \in \mathcal{O}_\sigma, \epsilon \in \mathcal{O}_\epsilon, \tau \in \mathcal{O}_\tau, \lambda \in \mathcal{O}_\lambda$ such that $\mathcal{O}_\rho \oplus \mathcal{O}_\sigma = \mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_\epsilon \oplus \mathcal{O}_\tau = \mathcal{O}_2$.

The following theorem is the degenerate form of Green's formula which is related to [3, Theorem 22].

Theorem 4.5. *Let $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2$ be constructible subsets of $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$ and $\alpha', \beta' \in \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$, then we have*

$$g^{\alpha'\oplus\beta'}_{\mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_1} = \int_{\rho,\sigma,\epsilon,\tau\in\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K});\rho\oplus\sigma\in\mathcal{O}_1,\epsilon\oplus\tau\in\mathcal{O}_2} g^{\alpha'}_{\epsilon\rho} g^{\beta'}_{\tau\sigma}.$$

Proof. By the proof of Lemma 3.8, $g^{\alpha'\oplus\beta'}_{\mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_1} = \int_{\alpha\in\mathcal{O}_1,\beta\in\mathcal{O}_2} g^{\alpha'\oplus\beta'}_{\beta\alpha}$. It suffices to prove the following formula

$$g^{\alpha'\oplus\beta'}_{\beta\alpha} = \int_{\rho,\sigma,\epsilon,\tau\in\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K});\rho\oplus\sigma=\alpha,\epsilon\oplus\tau=\beta} g^{\alpha'}_{\epsilon\rho} g^{\beta'}_{\tau\sigma}.$$

Suppose that $[A]=\alpha, [B]=\beta, [A']=\alpha', [B']=\beta', [C]=\rho, [D]=\sigma, [E]=\epsilon$ and $[F]=\tau$ for $A, B, C, D, E, F \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$. There are finitely many (ρ,σ) and (ϵ,τ) such that $\rho\oplus\sigma=\alpha$ and $\epsilon\oplus\tau=\beta$. The morphism

$$i : \bigcup_{\substack{[C],[D],[E],[F];\\ [C\oplus D]=[A],[E\oplus F]=[B]}} V([C],[E];A')\times V([D],[F];B') \to V([A],[B];A'\oplus B')$$

is defined by

$$(\langle C \xrightarrow{f_1} A' \xrightarrow{g_1} E\rangle, \langle D \xrightarrow{f_2} B' \xrightarrow{g_2} F\rangle) \mapsto \langle C\oplus D \xrightarrow{f} A'\oplus B' \xrightarrow{g} E\oplus F\rangle,$$

where $f = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 & 0 \\ 0 & f_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $g = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 \end{pmatrix}$. Because both $C \xrightarrow{f_1} A' \xrightarrow{g_1} E$ and $D \xrightarrow{f_2} B' \xrightarrow{g_2} F$ are conflations, $C\oplus D \xrightarrow{f} A'\oplus B' \xrightarrow{g} E\oplus F$ is a conflation by [2, Proposition 2.9]. Hence the morphism is well-defined. Note that i is injective and $g^{\alpha'}_{\epsilon\rho} g^{\beta'}_{\tau\sigma} = \chi^{\mathrm{na}}(V([C],[E];A')\times V([D],[F];B'))$.

By [9, Lemma 4.2], we have

$$\chi^{\mathrm{na}}(V([A],[B];A'\oplus B')) = \chi^{\mathrm{na}}(\mathrm{Im}i) + \chi^{\mathrm{na}}\big(V([A],[B];A'\oplus B')\setminus \mathrm{Im}i\big).$$

According to Lemma 3.11, if $m_{\pi_m}([A \xrightarrow{f} A'\oplus B' \xrightarrow{g} B]) \neq 0$, then there exist two conflations $C \xrightarrow{f_1} A' \xrightarrow{g_1} E$ and $D \xrightarrow{f_2} B' \xrightarrow{g_2} F$ in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $A \cong C\oplus D$, $B\cong E\oplus F$, $f = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 & 0 \\ 0 & f_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $g = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 \end{pmatrix}$. Thus

$$m_{\pi_m}([A \xrightarrow{f} A'\oplus B' \xrightarrow{g} B]) = 0$$

for any $\langle A \xrightarrow{f} A'\oplus B' \xrightarrow{g} B\rangle \in V([A],[B];A'\oplus B')\setminus \mathrm{Im}i$. Using (4), it follows that $\chi^{\mathrm{na}}(V([A],[B];A'\oplus B')\setminus \mathrm{Im}i) = 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\beta\alpha}^{\alpha'\oplus\beta'} &= \chi^{\mathrm{na}}(V([A],[B];A'\oplus B')) = \chi^{\mathrm{na}}(\mathrm{Im}i) \\ &= \int_{\rho,\sigma,\epsilon,\tau\in\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K});\rho\oplus\sigma=\alpha,\epsilon\oplus\tau=\beta} g_{\epsilon\rho}^{\alpha'} g_{\tau\sigma}^{\beta'}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

For all $f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2 \in \mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$, define $(f_1\otimes g_1)*(f_2\otimes g_2) = (f_1 * f_2)\otimes(g_1 * g_2)$. Using Green's formula, we have the following theorem due to [3, Theorem 24].

Theorem 4.6. *The map $\Delta : \mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}) \to \mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})\otimes \mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is an algebra homomorphism.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the one in [3, Theorem 24]. Let $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2 \in \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{K})$ be constructible sets of stratified Krull-Schmidt. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}) &= \Delta(\sum_{\lambda} g_{\mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_1}^{\lambda} 1_{\mathcal{O}_\lambda}) = \sum_{\lambda} g_{\mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_1}^{\lambda}\Delta(1_{\mathcal{O}_\lambda}) \\ = \sum_{\lambda} g_{\mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_1}^{\lambda}(\sum_{\alpha',\beta'} h_{\mathcal{O}_\lambda}^{\beta'\alpha'} 1_{\mathcal{O}_{\alpha'}}\otimes 1_{\mathcal{O}_{\beta'}}) &= \sum_{\alpha',\beta'} g_{\mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_1}^{\alpha'\oplus\beta'} 1_{\mathcal{O}_{\alpha'}}\otimes 1_{\mathcal{O}_{\beta'}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(1_{\mathcal{O}_1})*\Delta(1_{\mathcal{O}_2}) &= (\sum_{\rho,\sigma} h_{\mathcal{O}_1}^{\sigma\rho} 1_{\mathcal{O}_\rho}\otimes 1_{\mathcal{O}_\sigma}) * (\sum_{\epsilon,\tau} h_{\mathcal{O}_2}^{\tau\epsilon} 1_{\mathcal{O}_\epsilon}\otimes 1_{\mathcal{O}_\tau}) \\ &= \sum_{\rho,\sigma,\epsilon,\tau} h_{\mathcal{O}_1}^{\sigma\rho} h_{\mathcal{O}_2}^{\tau\epsilon}(1_{\mathcal{O}_\rho} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_\epsilon})\otimes(1_{\mathcal{O}_\sigma} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_\tau}) \\ &= \sum_{\rho,\sigma,\epsilon,\tau} h_{\mathcal{O}_1}^{\sigma\rho} h_{\mathcal{O}_2}^{\tau\epsilon}(\sum_{\alpha',\beta'} g_{\mathcal{O}_\epsilon\mathcal{O}_\rho}^{\alpha'} g_{\mathcal{O}_\tau\mathcal{O}_\sigma}^{\beta'} 1_{\mathcal{O}_{\alpha'}}\otimes 1_{\mathcal{O}_{\beta'}}) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha',\beta'}(\sum_{\rho,\sigma,\epsilon,\tau} h_{\mathcal{O}_1}^{\sigma\rho} h_{\mathcal{O}_2}^{\tau\epsilon} g_{\mathcal{O}_\epsilon\mathcal{O}_\rho}^{\alpha'} g_{\mathcal{O}_\tau\mathcal{O}_\sigma}^{\beta'} 1_{\mathcal{O}_{\alpha'}}\otimes 1_{\mathcal{O}_{\beta'}}). \end{aligned}$$

According to Theorem 4.5, it follows that

$$\sum_{\rho,\sigma,\epsilon,\tau} h^{\sigma\rho}_{\mathcal{O}_1} h^{\tau\epsilon}_{\mathcal{O}_2} g^{\alpha'}_{\mathcal{O}_\epsilon \mathcal{O}_\rho} g^{\beta'}_{\mathcal{O}_\tau \mathcal{O}_\sigma} = g^{\alpha'\oplus\beta'}_{\mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_1}.$$

Therefore $\Delta(1_{\mathcal{O}_1} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}) = \Delta(1_{\mathcal{O}_1}) * \Delta(1_{\mathcal{O}_2})$. We have thus proved the theorem. □

A Exact categories

We recall the definition of an exact category (see [11, Appendix A]).

Definition A.1. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an additive category. A sequence

$$X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$$

in $\mathcal{A}$ is called exact if f is a kernel of g and g is a cokernel of f. The morphisms f and g are called inflation and deflation respectively. The short exact sequence is called a conflation. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the collection of conflations closed under isomorphism and satisfying the following axioms

A0 $1_0 : 0 \to 0$ is a deflation.

A1 The composition of two deflations is a deflation.

A2 For every $h \in \mathrm{Hom}(X, X')$ and every inflation $f \in \mathrm{Hom}(X, Y)$ in $\mathcal{A}$, there exists a pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ {\scriptstyle h}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h'} \\ X' & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y' \end{array}$$

where $f' \in \mathrm{Hom}(X', Y')$ is an inflation.

A3 For every $l \in \mathrm{Hom}(Z', Z)$ and every deflation $g \in \mathrm{Hom}(Y, Z)$ in $\mathcal{A}$, there exists a pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y' & \xrightarrow{g'} & Z' \\ {\scriptstyle l'}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle l} \\ Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \end{array}$$

where $g' \in \mathrm{Hom}(Y', Z')$ is an deflation. Then $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S})$ is called an exact category.

The definition of idempotent complete is taken from [2, Definition 6.1].

Definition A.2. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an additive category. The category $\mathcal{A}$ is idempotent complete if for every idempotent morphism $s : A \to A$ in $\mathcal{A}$, s has a kernel $k : K \to A$ and a image $i : I \to A$ (a kernel of a cokernel of s) such that $A \cong K \oplus I$. We write $A \cong \mathrm{Ker}s \oplus \mathrm{Im}s$, for simplicity.

References

[1] I. Assem, D. Simson, A. Skowronski. *Elements of the representation theory of associative algebras. Vol. 1. Techniques of representation theory.* London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 65. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.

[2] T. Bühler. *Exact categories.* Expo. Math. 28 (2010), no. 1, 1-69.

[3] M. Ding, J, Xiao, F. Xu. *Realizing enveloping algebras via varieties of modules.* Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) 26 (2010), no. 1, 29-48.

[4] J. A. Green. *Hall algebras, hereditary algebras and quantum groups.* Invent. Math. 120 (1995), no. 2, 361-377.

[5] T. L. Gómez. *Algebraic stacks.* Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. 111 (2001), no. 1, 1-31.

[6] R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.

[7] B. T. Jensen, X. Su, A. Zimmermann. *Degenerations for derived categories.* J. Pure Appl. Algebra 198 (2005), no. 1-3, 281-295.

[8] D. Joyce. *Configurations in abelian categories. I. Basic properties and moduli stacks.* Adv. Math. 203 (2006), no. 1, 194-255.

[9] D. Joyce. *Constructible functions on Artin stacks.* J. London Math. Soc. (2) 74 (2006), no. 3, 583-606.

[10] D. Joyce. *Configurations in abelian categories. II. Ringel-Hall algebras.* Adv. Math. 210 (2007), no. 2, 635-706.

[11] B. Keller. *Chain complexes and stable categories.* Manuscripta Math. 67 (1990), no. 4, 379-417.

[12] A. Kresch. *Cycle groups for Artin stacks.* Invent. Math. 138 (1999), no. 3, 495-536.

[13] G. Lusztig. *Intersection cohomology methods in representation theory.* Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. I, II (Kyoto, 1990), 155-174, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 1991.

[14] S. Mozgovoy. *Motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants and McKay correspondence.* arXiv:1107.6044v1 [math.AG].

[15] D. Mumford. *Algebraic geometry. I. Complex projective varieties.* Reprint of the 1976 edition. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.

[16] L. Peng, J. Xiao. *Triangulated categories and Kac-Moody algebras.* Invent. Math. 140 (2000), no. 3, 563-603.

[17] D. Quillen. *Higher algebraic K-theory. I.* Algebraic K-theory, I: Higher K-theories (Proc. Conf., Battelle Memorial Inst., Seattle, Wash., 1972), pp. 85-147. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 341, Springer, Berlin 1973.

[18] C. Riedtmann. *Lie algebras generated by indecomposables.* J. Algebra 170 (1994), no. 2, 526-546.

[19] C. M. Ringel. *Hall algebras and quantum groups.* Invent. Math. 101 (1990), no. 3, 583-591.

[20] C. M. Ringel. *Green's theorem on Hall algebras.* Representation theory of algebras and related topics (Mexico City, 1994), 185-245, CMS Conf. Proc., 19, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.

[21] M. Rosenlicht. *A remark on quotient spaces.* An. Acad. Brasil. Ci. 35 1963 487-489.

[22] A. Schofield. *Notes on constructing Lie algebras from finite-dimensional algebras.* Manuscript.

[23] J. Xiao, F. Xu, G. Zhang. *Derived categories and Lie algebras.* arXiv:0604564v2 [math.QA].